

36-709, Spring 2019
Homework 3

Due Friday, March 8 by 5:00pm in JaeHyeok's mailbox

1. A random matrix A of dimension $n \times m$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter σ^2 , written as $A \in SG_{m,n}(\sigma^2)$, when $y^\top Ax$ is $SG(\sigma^2)$ for any $y \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$. You may assume that $\mathbb{E}[A] = 0$ (or otherwise replace A by $A - \mathbb{E}[A]$).

(a) Suppose that the entries of A are independent variables that are $SG(\sigma^2)$. Show that $A \in SG_{m,n}(\sigma^2)$.

(b) Let $A \in SG_{n,m}(\sigma^2)$ and recall that the operator norm of A is

$$\|A\|_{\text{op}} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} = \max_{y \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, x \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}} y^\top Ax.$$

Show that, for some $C > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\|A\|_{\text{op}}] \leq C(\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m}).$$

(c) Find a concentration inequality for $\|A\|_{\text{op}}$.

Hint: work with a $1/4$ net for \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and a $1/4$ net for \mathbb{S}^{m-1} .

2. Exercise 6.10.

3. 6.15 a)

4. Exercise 5.1.

5. Exercise 5.2.

6. Let A be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with zero diagonal and off-diagonal entries consisting of $\binom{n}{2}$ independent Bernoulli's. Specifically, for any $i < j$,

$$A_{i,j} = A_{j,i} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_{i,j}),$$

where each $p_{i,j} \in [0, 1]$. Then A is the adjacency matrix of an *inhomogeneous Bernoulli network*, a random simple graph whose edges are independent Bernoulli's. In particular, if $p_{i,j} = p$ for all $i < j$, A is the adjacency matrix of an Erdős-Renyi random graph.

In many problems – for example when analyzing the performance of spectral clustering algorithms for community detection – we need a high probability bound for the quantity

$$\|A - \mathbb{E}[A]\|_{\text{op}}$$

Let $\alpha = \max_{i < j} p_{i,j}$ and assume that $\alpha = \alpha_n$ is allowed to vanish with n in such a way that $\alpha_n = C_1 \frac{\log n}{n}$, for some C_1 . Notice that $\alpha_n n$ is a bound on the maximal degree of the graph.

Show that there exists a constant C' such that, with probability at least $\frac{1}{n}$,

$$\|A - \mathbb{E}[A]\|_{\text{op}} \leq \sqrt{C' n \alpha_n \log n}.$$

Thus, as long as α_n is of larger order than $\frac{\log n}{n}$ (so that the graph may be *sparse*, in the sense that the maximal degree is of smaller order than n), $\|A - \mathbb{E}[P]\|_{\text{op}}$ converges in probability to zero.

Write $A - \mathbb{E}[P] = \sum_{i < j} (A_{i,j} - p_{i,j})(E^{i,j}) + E^{(j,i)}$, where $E^{(i,j)}$ is the $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are all zeros, except for the (i,j) th entry, which is 1. Use Bernstein matrix inequality.

For the current state-of-the art on bounds for this type of problems see, Afonso S. Bandeira and Ramon van Handel, (2016). Sharp nonasymptotic bounds on the norm of random matrices with independent entries, *Ann. Probab. Volume 44, Number 4, 2479-2506*.

7. Let X_1, \dots, X_n independent and identically distributed sequence taking values in a finite set of cardinality m which, without loss of generality, we may take to be $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$. For each $j \in [m]$, let $\hat{p}(j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(X_i = j)$ be the fraction of the sample points equal to j . Then $(\hat{p}(1), \dots, \hat{p}(m))$ is a probability distribution. Similarly, for each $j \in [m]$, let $p(j) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = j)$.

We are interested in bounding the L_1 or total variation distance¹ between the empirical probabilities and the true one, i.e. in bounding

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |\hat{p}(j) - p(j)|.$$

- (a) Use Hoeffding's inequality and the union bound to show that, with probability at least $1 - \delta$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |\hat{p}(j) - p(j)| \leq m \sqrt{\frac{\log(2m/\delta)}{2n}}.$$

- (b) Here is a way to derive a stronger inequality. Below we will use these standard facts from duality, which give a variational representation of the L_1 and L_∞ vector norms:

$$\|x\|_1 = \sup_{y: \|y\|_\infty \leq 1} x^\top y \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_\infty = \sup_{y: \|y\|_1 \leq 1} x^\top y.$$

(Recall that $\|x\|_\infty = \max_i |x_i|$ and $\|x\|_1 = \sum_i |x_i|$). Let \mathcal{N}_ϵ be a ϵ -net of $[-1, 1]^m$ with respect to the metric induced by the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norm (of course, $0 < \epsilon < 1$). Treat the probability distributions $\hat{p} := (\hat{p}(1), \dots, \hat{p}(m))$ and $p := (p(1), \dots, p(m))$ as vectors in \mathbb{R}^m .

Let $x_* \in [-1, 1]^m$ be such that $\sum_{j=1}^m |\hat{p}(j) - p(j)| = (\hat{p} - p)^\top x_*$. Let $s_* = s_*(x_*)$ be the closest point in \mathcal{N}_ϵ to x_* (break ties arbitrarily). Of course, both x_* and s_* are random.

- i. Show that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |\hat{p}(j) - p(j)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} (\hat{p} - p)^\top s_*$$

Hint: use the standard fact, from duality, that $\|x\|_1 = \sup_{y: \|y\|_\infty \leq 1} x^\top y$ and Hölder's inequality, which gives that $|x^\top y| \leq \|x\|_1 \|y\|_\infty$

- ii. Use Hoeffding's inequality and the union bound to bound the the right hand side of the previous expression with probability at least $1 - \delta$. *Hint: it is crucial that you realize that you cannot use Hoeffding inequality on $(\hat{p} - p)^\top s_*$ because each coordinate of s_* depends on all the X_i 's. Instead you need to use the bound $(\hat{p} - p)^\top s_* \leq \max_{y \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon} (\hat{p} - p)^\top y$. Each term $(\hat{p} - p)^\top y$ can be handled with Hoeffding's inequality.*
- iii. At this point, argue that $|\mathcal{N}_\epsilon| \leq \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^m$ and pick a value for ϵ . Compare to the naive bound in part (a). You should get a better dependence on m .

See also the paper: T. Weissman, E. Ordentlich, G. Seroussi, and S. Verdú. *Inequalities for the ℓ_1 deviation of the empirical distribution. Technical report, Hewlett-Packard Labs, 2003*

¹Technically, this is twice the total variation distance.