

36710-36752, Fall 2020

Homework 5

Due Friday, December 11.

1. For each n , let $X_n \sim N(0, 1/n)$ and denote its c.d.f. with F_{X_n} . Also, let X be a degenerate random variable such that $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 1$. Since $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$, $X_n \xrightarrow{D} X$. Now, the c.d.f. of X is

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

but the pointwise limit of the sequence of c.d.f.'s $\{F_{X_n}\}_n$ is not a c.d.f., since it is not right continuous:

$$\lim_n F_{X_n}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 1/2 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Is there an issue here?

2. Show by example that distribution functions having densities can converge weakly even if the densities do not converge. *Hint: Consider the sequence of densities $\{f_n\}$ where $f_n(x) = 1 + \cos 2\pi nx$ on $[0, 1]$. Compute the corresponding c.d.f.'s...*
3. Let $X_n = (X_n(1), \dots, X_n(n))$ be a random vector uniformly distributed over $S_{\sqrt{n}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = \sqrt{n}\}$, the n -dimensional sphere of radius \sqrt{n} . Show that $X_n(1) \xrightarrow{D} X$, where $X \sim N(0, 1)$. You may use the fact that if the random vector $Z_n = (Z_n(1), \dots, Z_n(n))$ is comprised of independent standard normals, then the vector $Z_n \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\|Z_n\|}$ is uniformly distributed over $S_{\sqrt{n}}$ (that is, $X_n \stackrel{d}{=} Z_n \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\|Z_n\|}$). This result says that, in very high-dimensions, the marginal distribution of any coordinate of a random vector uniformly distributed over the unit sphere is approximately normal. Another counter-intuitive fact about measures in high-dimensions.

4. The Delta method with higher order expansions.

- (a) Prove the following: let $\{X_n\}$ and X be a sequence of random vectors and a random vector in \mathbb{R}^d and $\{r_n\}$ a sequence of positive numbers increasing to ∞ such that $r_n(X_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} X$, for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable at $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and with $\nabla f(\theta) = 0$. Show that

$$r_n^2(f(X_n) - f(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} \frac{1}{2} X^\top H_f(\theta) X,$$

where $H_f(\theta)$ is the Hessian of f at θ .

- (b) Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ and let $\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. We are interested in estimating the variance of the distribution, $\theta(1 - \theta)$. Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be

given as $f(x) = x(1 - x)$. Consider the estimator $f(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\theta}(1 - \hat{\theta})$. Derive the asymptotic distribution of $f(\hat{\theta})$, for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$. *The limiting distribution will be different depending on whether $\theta = 1/2$ or not.*

5. Let X and Y be random variables over the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Assume that the range of Y is a countable subset \mathcal{Y} of \mathbb{R} such that $P(Y^{-1}(\{y\})) > 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Show that the conditional expectation of X given Y is the random variable $g(Y)$, where the function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$y \mapsto \frac{1}{P(Y^{-1}(\{y\}))} \int_{Y^{-1}(\{y\})} X dP.$$

In particular, if $Y = 1_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{F}$ we may speak of the conditional expectation of X given A when referring to $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$. This is what “conditioning on an event” means.¹

6. If X and Y are independent random variables with finite expectations on a common probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , show that $\mathbb{E}(X|Y) = \mathbb{E}[X]$, a.e. $[P]$.
This can be proved in many ways, some simpler than others. You should try to provide a measure-theoretic proof of the following, more general result: if \mathcal{C} and $\sigma(X)$ are independent σ -fields contained in \mathcal{F} , then $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{C}] = \mathbb{E}[X]$, a.e. $[P]$.
7. Let X be a random variable on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$ a σ -field. Show that, for each $p \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{C}]|^p] \leq \mathbb{E}|X|^p.$$

That is, the condition expectation is a contraction on the L_p space of random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with finite p -th moment. In particular, show that the variance of $\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{C}]$ is smaller than the variance of X . This is a way of formalizing the intuition that conditioning (which can be thought of as extra information) reduces uncertainty.

¹Ale’s rant: in the theoretical statistical literature you will often see the following mis-use of the expression “conditioning on an event”. In proving that a certain property holds, a general strategy is to define a high-probability good event and to show that the desired property holds in that event. Way too often the authors will then say that “...conditionally on this good event, the claimed result follows.” In fact, there is no conditioning at all! The argument is instead as follows: let R the event that the result holds and G the good event. Then if $G \subseteq R$ and $P(G)$ is large, we must have that the probability $P(R^c)$ that the result fails is small, smaller than $P(G^c)$. As you can see, there is no conditioning.