

Nonmeasurable Sets

There exist in $(0, 1]$ sets that lie outside \mathcal{B} . For the construction (due to Vitali) it is convenient to use addition modulo 1 in $(0, 1]$. For $x, y \in (0, 1]$ take $x \oplus y$ to be $x + y$ or $x + y - 1$ according as $x + y$ lies in $(0, 1]$ or not.[†] Put $A \oplus x = [a \oplus x: a \in A]$.

Let \mathcal{L} be the class of Borel sets A such that $A \oplus x$ is a Borel set and $\lambda(A \oplus x) = \lambda(A)$. Then \mathcal{L} is a λ -system containing the intervals, and so $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}$ by the π - λ theorem. Thus $A \in \mathcal{B}$ implies that $A \oplus x \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\lambda(A \oplus x) = \lambda(A)$. In this sense, λ is translation-invariant.

Define x and y to be equivalent ($x \sim y$) if $x \oplus r = y$ for some rational r in $(0, 1]$. Let H be a subset of $(0, 1]$ consisting of exactly one representative point from each equivalence class; such a set exists under the assumption of the axiom of choice [A8]. Consider now the countably many sets $H \oplus r$ for rational r

These sets are disjoint, because no two distinct points of H are equivalent. (If $H \oplus r_1$ and $H \oplus r_2$ share the point $h_1 \oplus r_1 = h_2 \oplus r_2$, then $h_1 \sim h_2$; this is impossible unless $h_1 = h_2$, in which case $r_1 = r_2$.) Each point of $(0, 1]$ lies in one of these sets, because H has a representative from each equivalence class. (If $x \sim h \in H$, then $x = h \oplus r \in H \oplus r$ for some rational r .) Thus $(0, 1] = \bigcup_r (H \oplus r)$, a countable disjoint union.

If H were in \mathcal{B} , it would follow that $\lambda(0, 1] = \sum_r \lambda(H \oplus r)$. This is impossible: If the value common to the $\lambda(H \oplus r)$ is 0, it leads to $1 = 0$; if the common value is positive, it leads to a convergent infinite series of identical positive terms ($a + a + \dots < \infty$ and $a > 0$). Thus H lies outside \mathcal{B} . ■