Chapter 4

Efficient Likelihood Estimation and Related Tests

1 Maximum likelihood and efficient likelihood estimation

We begin with a brief discussion of *Kullback - Leibler information*.

Definition 1.1 Let P be a probability measure, and let Q be a sub-probability measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{A})$ with densities p and q with respect to a sigma-finite measure μ ($\mu = P + Q$ always works). Thus $P(X) = 1$ and $Q(X) \leq 1$. Then the *Kullback - Leibler information* $K(P,Q)$ is

(1)
$$
K(P,Q) \equiv E_P \left\{ \log \frac{p(X)}{q(X)} \right\}.
$$

Lemma 1.1 For a probability measure *Q* and a (sub-)probability measure *Q*, the Kullback-Leibler information $K(P,Q)$ is always well-defined, and

$$
K(P,Q)\begin{cases} \in [0,\infty] & \text{always} \\ = 0 & \text{if and only if } Q = P \, . \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Now

$$
K(P,Q) = \begin{cases} \log 1 = 0 & \text{if } P = Q, \\ \log M > 0 & \text{if } P = MQ, M > 1. \end{cases}
$$

If $P \neq MQ$, then Jensen's inequality is strict and yields

$$
K(P,Q) = E_P\left(-\log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right)
$$

>
$$
-\log E_P\left(\frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right) = -\log E_Q 1_{[p(X)>0]}
$$

\$\geq -\log 1 = 0\$.

 \Box

Now we need some assumptions and notation. Suppose that the model P is given by

$$
\mathcal{P} = \{P_{\theta} : \ \theta \in \Theta\}.
$$

We will impose the following hypotheses about *P*:

Assumptions:

- **A0.** $\theta \neq \theta^*$ implies $P_{\theta} \neq P_{\theta^*}$.
- A1. $A \equiv \{x : p_{\theta}(x) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ .

A2. *P*^{θ} has density *p*^{θ} with respect to the σ -finite measure μ and X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. $P_{\theta_0} \equiv P_0$. Notation:

$$
L(\theta) \equiv L_n(\theta) \equiv L(\theta | \underline{X}) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i),
$$

$$
l(\theta) = l(\theta | \underline{X}) \equiv l_n(\theta) \equiv \log L_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log p_{\theta}(X_i),
$$

$$
l(B) \equiv l(B | \underline{X}) \equiv l_n(B) = \sup_{\theta \in B} l(\theta | \underline{X}).
$$

Here is a preliminary result which motivates our definition of the maximum likelihood estimator.

Theorem 1.1 If A0 - A2 hold, then for $\theta \neq \theta_0$

$$
\frac{1}{n}\log\left(\frac{L_n(\theta_0)}{L_n(\theta)}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \log\frac{p_{\theta_0}(X_i)}{p_{\theta}(X_i)} \to_{a.s.} K(p_{\theta_0}, P_{\theta}) > 0,
$$

and hence

$$
P_{\theta_0}(L_n(\theta_0|\underline{X}) > L_n(\theta|\underline{X})) \to 1
$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. The first assertion is just the strong law of large numbers; note that

$$
E_{\theta_0} \log \frac{p_{\theta_0}(X)}{p_{\theta}(X)} = K(P_{\theta_0}, P_{\theta}) > 0
$$

by lemma 1.1 and A0. The second assertion is an immediate consequence of the first. \Box

Theorem 1.1 motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.2 The value $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_n$ of θ which maximizes the likelihood $L(\theta|\underline{X})$, if it exists and is unique, is the *maximum likelihood estimator* (MLE) of θ . Thus $L(\widehat{\theta}) = L(\Theta)$ or $\mathbf{l}(\widehat{\theta}_n) = \mathbf{l}(\Theta)$.

Cautions:

- $\widehat{\theta}_n$ may not exist.
- $\widehat{\theta}_n$ may exist, but may not be unique.
- Note that the definition depends on the version of the density p_{θ} which is selected; since this is not unique, different versions of p_{θ} lead to different MLE's

When $\Theta \subset R^d$, the usual approach to finding $\widehat{\theta}_n$ is to solve the *likelihood* (or *score*) equations

$$
(2) \qquad \underline{\mathbf{i}}(\theta | \underline{X}) \equiv \underline{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta) = \underline{0} \, ;
$$

i.e. $\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_i}(\theta|\underline{X}) = 0$, $i = 1, ..., d$. The solution $\widetilde{\theta}_n$ say, may not be the MLE, but may yield simply a local maximum of $l(\theta)$.

The *likelihood ratio statistic* for testing $H : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $K : \theta \neq \theta_0$ is

$$
\lambda_n = \frac{L(\Theta)}{L(\theta_0)} = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta | \underline{X})}{L(\theta_0 | \underline{X})} = \frac{L(\widehat{\theta}_n)}{L(\theta_0)},
$$

$$
\widetilde{\lambda}_n = \frac{L(\widetilde{\theta}_n)}{L(\theta_0)}.
$$

Write P_0 , E_0 for P_{θ_0} , E_{θ_0} . Here are some more assumptions about the model P which we will use to treat these estimators and test statistics.

Assumptions, continued:

A3. Θ contains an open neighborhood $\Theta_0 \subset R^d$ of θ_0 for which:

- (i) For μ a.e. x , $l(\theta|x) \equiv \log p_{\theta}(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable in θ .
- (ii) For a.e. *x*, the third order derivatives exist and $\int_{jkl}^{n} (\theta|x)$ satisfy $|\int_{jkl}^{n} (\theta|x)| \leq M_{jkl}(x)$ for $\theta \in \Theta_0$ for all $1 \leq j, k, l \leq d$ with $E_0 M_{ikl}(X) < \infty$.

A4. (i)
$$
E_0\{i_j(\theta_0|X)\}=0
$$
 for $j=1,\ldots,d$.

- (ii) $E_0\{\mathbf{i}_j^2(\theta_0|X)\} < \infty$ for $j = 1, ..., d$.
- (iii) $I(\theta_0) = (-E_0{\{\ddot{\mathbf{l}}}_{ik}(\theta_0|X)\})$ is positive definite.

Let

$$
Z_n \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\theta_0 | X_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{I}}(\theta_0 | X) = I^{-1}(\theta_0) \dot{\mathbf{I}}(\theta_0 | X),
$$

so that

$$
I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\mathbf{I}}(\theta_0|X_i).
$$

Theorem 1.2 Suppose that X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. $P_{\theta_0} \in \mathcal{P}$ with density p_{θ_0} where $\mathcal P$ satisfies A0 -A4. Then:

- (i) With probability converging to 1 there exist solutions θ_n of the likelihood equations such that $\theta_n \rightarrow_p \theta_0$ when $P_0 = P_{\theta_0}$ is true.
- (ii) θ_n is asymptotically linear with influence function $\mathbf{l}(\theta_0|x)$. That is,

$$
\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z_n + o_p(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{I}(\theta_0 | X_i) + o_p(1)
$$

$$
\to_d I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \equiv D \sim N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0)).
$$

$$
\rm (iii)
$$

$$
2\log \widetilde{\lambda}_n \to_d Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z = D^T I(\theta_0) D \sim \chi_d^2.
$$

 (iv)

$$
W_n \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \widehat{I}_n(\widetilde{\theta}_n) \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d D^T I(\theta_0) D = Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_d^2,
$$

where

$$
\widehat{I}_{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_{n}) = \begin{cases}\nI(\widetilde{\theta}_{n}), & \text{or} \\
n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{i}(\widetilde{\theta}_{n}|X_{i}) \mathbf{i}(\widetilde{\theta}_{n}|X_{i})^{T}, & \text{or} \\
-n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{i}(\widetilde{\theta}_{n}|X_{i}).\n\end{cases}
$$

(v)

$$
R_n \equiv Z_n^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z_n \to Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_d^2.
$$

Here we could replace $I(\theta_0)$ by any of the possibilities for $\widehat{I}_n(\widetilde{\theta}_n)$ given in (iv) and the conclusion continues to hold.

(vi) The model P satisfies the LAN condition at θ_0 :

$$
l(\theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t) - l(\theta_0) = t^T Z_n - \frac{1}{2} t^T I(\theta_0) t + o_{P_0}(1)
$$

$$
\rightarrow_d t^T Z - \frac{1}{2} t^T I(\theta_0) t \sim N(-(1/2)\sigma_0^2, \sigma_0^2)
$$

where $\sigma_0^2 \equiv t^T I(\theta_0) t$. Note that

$$
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = \hat{t}_n = \operatorname{argmax} \{ l_n(\theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t) - l_n(\theta_0) \}
$$

\n
$$
\rightarrow_d \operatorname{argmax} \{ t^T Z - (1/2)t^T I(\theta_0) t \} = I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z
$$

\n
$$
\sim N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0)).
$$

Remark 1.1 Note that the asymptotic form of the log-likelihood given in part (vi) of theorem 1.2 is exactly the log-likelihood ratio for a normal mean model $N_d(I(\theta_0)t, I(\theta_0))$. Also note that

$$
t^T Z - \frac{1}{2} t^T I(\theta_0) t = \frac{1}{2} Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z - \frac{1}{2} (t - I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z)^T I(\theta_0) (t - I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z) ,
$$

which is maximized as a function of *t* by $\hat{t} = I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z$ with maximum value $Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z/2$.

Corollary 1 Suppose that A0-A4 hold and that $\nu \equiv \nu(P_\theta) = q(\theta)$ is differentiable at $\theta_0 \in \Theta$. Then $\widetilde{\nu}_n \equiv q(\theta_n)$ satisfies

$$
\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\nu}_n - \nu_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{I}_{\nu}(\theta_0 | X_i) + o_p(1) \rightarrow_d N(0, \dot{q}^T(\theta_0)I^{-1}(\theta_0) \dot{q}(\theta_0)).
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{l}}_{\nu}(\theta_0|X_i) = \dot{q}^T(\theta_0)I^{-1}(\theta_0)\dot{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0|X_i)$ and $\nu_0 \equiv q(\theta_0)$.

If the likelihood equations (2) are difficult to solve or have multiple roots, then it is possible to use a one-step approximation. Suppose that $\overline{\theta}_n$ is a preliminary estimator of θ and set

(3)
$$
\check{\theta}_n \equiv \overline{\theta}_n + \widehat{I}_n^{-1}(\overline{\theta}_n)(n^{-1}\mathbf{i}(\overline{\theta}_n|\underline{X})).
$$

The estimator $\check{\theta}_n$ is sometimes called a *one-step* estimator.

Theorem 1.3 Suppose that A0-A4 hold, and that $\overline{\theta}_n$ satisfies $n^{1/4}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = o_p(1)$; note that the latter holds if $\sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = O_p(1)$. Then

$$
\sqrt{n}(\check{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z_n + o_p(1) \to_d N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0))
$$

where $Z_n \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{i}(\theta_0 | X_i)$.

Proof. Theorem 1.2. (i) Existence and consistency. For *a >* 0, let

$$
Q_a \equiv \{ \theta \in \Theta : \ |\theta - \theta_0| = a \}.
$$

We will show that

(a)
$$
P_0\{l(\theta) < l(\theta_0) \text{ for all } \theta \in Q_a\} \to 1
$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

This implies that *L* has a local maximum inside *Qa*. Since the likelihood equations must be satisfied at a local maximum, it will follow that for any *a >* 0 with probability converging to 1 that the likelihood equations have a solution $\theta_n(a)$ within Q_a ; taking the root closest to θ_0 completes the proof.

To prove (a), write

$$
\frac{1}{n}(l(\theta) - l(\theta_0)) = \frac{1}{n}(\theta - \theta_0)^T \underline{\mathbf{i}}(\theta_0) - \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_0)^T \left(-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{i}(\theta_0)\right) (\theta - \theta_0) \n+ \frac{1}{6n} \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^d (\theta_j - \theta_{j0})(\theta_k - \theta_{k0})(\theta_l - \theta_{l0}) \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{jkl}(X_i) M_{jkl}(X_i) \n= S_1 + S_2 + S_3
$$

where, by A3(ii), $0 \le |\gamma_{jkl}(x)| \le 1$. Furthermore, by A3(ii) and A4,

$$
(c) \tS_1 \to_p 0,
$$

(d)
$$
S_2 \rightarrow_p -\frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_0)^T I(\theta_0) (\theta - \theta_0),
$$

where

(e)
$$
(\theta - \theta_0)^T I(\theta_0)(\theta - \theta_0) \ge \lambda_d |\theta - \theta_0|^2 = \lambda_d a^2
$$

and λ_d is the smallest eigenvalue of $I(\theta_0)$ (recall that $\sup_x(x^T Ax)/(x^T x) = \lambda_1$, $\inf_x(x^T Ax)/(x^T x) =$ λ_d where $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_d > 0$ are the eigenvalues of *A* symmetric and positive definite), and

(f)
$$
S_3 \to_p \frac{1}{6} \sum_j \sum_k \sum_l (\theta_j - \theta_{j0})(\theta_k - \theta_{k0})(\theta_l - \theta_{l0}) E \gamma_{jkl}(X_1) M_{jkl}(X_1).
$$

Thus for any given $\epsilon, a > 0$, for *n* sufficiently large with probability larger than $1 - \epsilon$, for all $\theta \in Q_a$,

$$
(g) \t |S_1| < da^3,
$$

(h)
$$
S_2 < -\lambda_d a^2 / 4,
$$

and

(i)
$$
|S_3| \le \frac{1}{3} (da)^3 \sum_{j,k,l} m_{jkl} \equiv Ba^3
$$

where $m_{jkl} \equiv EM_{jkl}(X)$. Hence, combining (g), (h), and (i) yields

(j)
$$
\sup_{\theta \in Q_a} (S_1 + S_2 + S_3) \leq \sup_{\theta \in Q_a} |S_1 + S_3| + \sup_{\theta \in Q_a} S_2
$$

$$
\leq da^3 + Ba^3 - \frac{\lambda_d}{4} a^2
$$

$$
\leq (B + d)a^3 - \frac{\lambda_d}{4} a^2 = \left\{ (B + d)a - \frac{\lambda_d}{4} \right\} a^2.
$$

The right side of (j) is $\langle 0 \rangle$ of $a \langle \lambda_d/4(B+d) \rangle$, and hence (a) holds.

On the set

(k)
$$
G_n \equiv \{ \widetilde{\theta}_n \text{ solves } \mathbf{i}_n(\widetilde{\theta}_n) = 0 \text{ and } |\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0| < \epsilon \}
$$

with $P_0(G_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, we have

(1)
$$
0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{i}_n(\widetilde{\theta}_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{i}(\theta_0) - (-n^{-1} \mathbf{i}_n(\theta_n^*)) \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)
$$

where $|\theta_n^* - \theta_0| \leq |\theta_n - \theta_0|$. Now from A4(i), (ii)

(m)
$$
Z_n \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{i}_n(\theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{i}(\theta_0 | X_i) \to_d N_d(0, I(\theta_0)).
$$

Furthermore

(n)
$$
-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\ddot{I}}_n(\theta_n^*) = -\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\ddot{I}}_n(\theta_0) + o_p(1) \rightarrow_p I(\theta_0)
$$

by using $\theta_n \to_p \theta_0$ and A3(ii) together with Taylor's theorem. Since matrix inversion is continuous (at nonsingular matrices), it follows that the inverse

(o)
$$
\left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}(\theta_n^*)\right)^{-1}
$$

exists with high probability, and satisfies

(p)
$$
\left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}(\theta_n^*)\right)^{-1} \to_p I(\theta_0)^{-1}
$$
.

Hence we can use (1) to write, on G_n ,

(q)
$$
\sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z_n + o_p(1)
$$

\n $\rightarrow_d I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0)).$

This proves (ii).

It also follows from (n) that

$$
\text{(r)} \qquad \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(-\frac{1}{n} \widetilde{\mathbf{i}}(\widetilde{\theta}_n) \right) \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \to_d Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_d^2,
$$

and that, since $I(\theta)$ is continuous at θ_0 ,

$$
\text{(s)} \qquad \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T I(\widetilde{\theta}_n) \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \to_d Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_d^2.
$$

To prove (iii), we write, on the set G_n ,

(t)
$$
l(\theta_0) = l(\widetilde{\theta}_n) + \mathbf{i}^T(\widetilde{\theta}_n)(\theta_0 - \widetilde{\theta}_n) - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \widetilde{\theta}_n)^T \left(-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{i}(\theta_n^*)\right)\sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \widetilde{\theta}_n)
$$

where $|\theta_n^* - \theta_0| \leq |\theta_n - \theta_0|$. Thus

$$
2 \log \tilde{\lambda}_n = 2\{l(\tilde{\theta}_n) - l(\theta_0)\}
$$

= $0 + 2\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(-\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\mathbf{I}}(\theta_n^*)\right) \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)$
= $D_n^T I(\theta_0) D_n + o_p(1)$, with $D_n \equiv \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)$
 $\rightarrow_d D^T I(\theta_0) D$ where $D \sim N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0))$
 $\sim \chi_d^2$.

Finally, (v) is trivial since everything is evaluated at the fixed point θ_0 . \Box

Proof. Theorem 1.3. First note that

$$
\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_n(\overline{\theta}_n) = \frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_n(\theta_0) + \frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_n(\theta_n^*)(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_n(\theta_0) + O_p(1)|\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|
$$

so that

(a)
$$
\left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_n(\overline{\theta}_n)\right)^{-1} = \left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_n(\theta_0)\right)^{-1} + O_p(1)|\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|
$$

and

(b)
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{i}_n(\overline{\theta}_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{i}_n(\theta_0) + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{i}_n(\theta_0) \sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{i}_n (\theta_n^*)\right) (\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0).
$$

Therefore it follows that

$$
\sqrt{n}(\check{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = \sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) + \left(-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{i}_n(\overline{\theta}_n)\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{i}_n(\overline{\theta}_n)
$$

$$
= \sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)
$$

$$
+ \left\{\left(-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{i}_n(\theta_0)\right)^{-1} + O_p(1)|\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{split}\n&\cdot\left\{Z_n + \frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_0)\sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_n^*)\right)(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)\right\} \\
&= \left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_0)\right)^{-1} Z_n + O_p(1)|\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|Z_n \\
&\quad + O_p(1)\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_0)\sqrt{n}|\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|^2 \\
&\quad + O_p(1)\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_n^*)\right)(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \\
&= I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z_n + o_p(1) + O_p(1)\sqrt{n}|\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|^2 \\
&= I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z_n + o_p(1).\n\end{split}
$$

Here we used

$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right. \mathbf{\ddot{u}}_{n} \left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \left(\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}\right) \left(\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}\right)\right| \\
&= \left|\sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{l=1}^{d} \sqrt{n} \left(\overline{\theta}_{nk} - \theta_{0k}\right) \left(\overline{\theta}_{nl} - \theta_{0l}\right) \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{\ddot{u}}_{jkl} \left(\theta_{n}^{*} | \underline{X}\right)\right| \\
&\leq d^{3} \sqrt{n} \left|\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}\right|^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\mathbf{\ddot{u}}_{jkl} \left(\theta_{n}^{*} | X_{i}\right)\right| \\
&= O_{p}(1) \sqrt{n} \left|\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}\right|^{2}\n\end{aligned}
$$

since $|\overline{\theta}_{nk} - \theta_{0k}| \leq |\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|$ for $k = 1, ..., d$ and $|\underline{x}| \leq d \max_{1 \leq k \leq d} |x_k| \leq d \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|$. \Box

Exercise 1.1 Show that $K(P,Q) \geq 2H^2(P,Q)$.