Chapter 4

Efficient Likelihood Estimation and Related Tests

1 Maximum likelihood and efficient likelihood estimation

We begin with a brief discussion of Kullback - Leibler information.

Definition 1.1 Let P be a probability measure, and let Q be a sub-probability measure on $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{A})$ with densities p and q with respect to a sigma-finite measure μ ($\mu = P + Q$ always works). Thus $P(\mathbb{X}) = 1$ and $Q(\mathbb{X}) \leq 1$. Then the Kullback - Leibler information K(P, Q) is

(1)
$$K(P,Q) \equiv E_P \left\{ \log \frac{p(X)}{q(X)} \right\}.$$

Lemma 1.1 For a probability measure Q and a (sub-)probability measure Q, the Kullback-Leibler information K(P,Q) is always well-defined, and

$$K(P,Q) \begin{cases} \in [0,\infty] & \text{always} \\ = 0 & \text{if and only if } Q = P. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Now

$$K(P,Q) = \begin{cases} \log 1 = 0 & \text{if } P = Q, \\ \log M > 0 & \text{if } P = MQ, \quad M > 1. \end{cases}$$

If $P \neq MQ$, then Jensen's inequality is strict and yields

$$K(P,Q) = E_P\left(-\log\frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right)$$

> $-\log E_P\left(\frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right) = -\log E_Q \mathbb{1}_{[p(X)>0]}$
\ge $-\log \mathbb{1} = 0.$

Now we need some assumptions and notation. Suppose that the model \mathcal{P} is given by

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ P_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta \} \,.$$

We will impose the following hypotheses about \mathcal{P} :

Assumptions:

- **A0.** $\theta \neq \theta^*$ implies $P_{\theta} \neq P_{\theta^*}$.
- **A1.** $A \equiv \{x : p_{\theta}(x) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ .

A2. P_{θ} has density p_{θ} with respect to the σ -finite measure μ and X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. $P_{\theta_0} \equiv P_0$. Notation:

$$L(\theta) \equiv L_n(\theta) \equiv L(\theta | \underline{X}) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n p_{\theta}(X_i) ,$$

$$l(\theta) = l(\theta | \underline{X}) \equiv l_n(\theta) \equiv \log L_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log p_{\theta}(X_i) ,$$

$$l(B) \equiv l(B | \underline{X}) \equiv l_n(B) = \sup_{\theta \in B} l(\theta | \underline{X}) .$$

Here is a preliminary result which motivates our definition of the maximum likelihood estimator.

Theorem 1.1 If A0 - A2 hold, then for $\theta \neq \theta_0$

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\left(\frac{L_n(\theta_0)}{L_n(\theta)}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \log\frac{p_{\theta_0}(X_i)}{p_{\theta}(X_i)} \to_{a.s.} K(P_{\theta_0}, P_{\theta}) > 0,$$

and hence

$$P_{\theta_0}(L_n(\theta_0|\underline{X}) > L_n(\theta|\underline{X})) \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof. The first assertion is just the strong law of large numbers; note that

$$E_{\theta_0} \log \frac{p_{\theta_0}(X)}{p_{\theta}(X)} = K(P_{\theta_0}, P_{\theta}) > 0$$

by lemma 1.1 and A0. The second assertion is an immediate consequence of the first. \Box

Theorem 1.1 motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.2 The value $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_n$ of θ which maximizes the likelihood $L(\theta|\underline{X})$, if it exists and is unique, is the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of θ . Thus $L(\hat{\theta}) = L(\Theta)$ or $\mathbf{l}(\hat{\theta}_n) = \mathbf{l}(\Theta)$.

Cautions:

- $\hat{\theta}_n$ may not exist.
- $\widehat{\theta}_n$ may exist, but may not be unique.
- Note that the definition depends on the version of the density p_{θ} which is selected; since this is not unique, different versions of p_{θ} lead to different MLE's

When $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the usual approach to finding $\widehat{\theta}_n$ is to solve the *likelihood* (or *score*) equations

(2)
$$\underline{\mathbf{i}}(\theta|\underline{X}) \equiv \underline{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta) = \underline{\mathbf{0}};$$

i.e. $\dot{\mathbf{l}}_{\theta_i}(\theta|\underline{X}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, d$. The solution $\tilde{\theta}_n$ say, may not be the MLE, but may yield simply a local maximum of $l(\theta)$.

The likelihood ratio statistic for testing $H: \theta = \theta_0$ versus $K: \theta \neq \theta_0$ is

$$\lambda_n = \frac{L(\Theta)}{L(\theta_0)} = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta | \underline{X})}{L(\theta_0 | \underline{X})} = \frac{L(\widehat{\theta}_n)}{L(\theta_0)}$$
$$\widetilde{\lambda}_n = \frac{L(\widetilde{\theta}_n)}{L(\theta_0)}.$$

Write P_0 , E_0 for P_{θ_0} , E_{θ_0} . Here are some more assumptions about the model \mathcal{P} which we will use to treat these estimators and test statistics.

Assumptions, continued:

- **A3.** Θ contains an open neighborhood $\Theta_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of θ_0 for which:
 - (i) For μ a.e. x, $l(\theta|x) \equiv \log p_{\theta}(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable in θ .
 - (ii) For a.e. x, the third order derivatives exist and $\mathbf{\tilde{l}}_{jkl}(\theta|x)$ satisfy $|\mathbf{\tilde{l}}_{jkl}(\theta|x)| \leq M_{jkl}(x)$ for $\theta \in \Theta_0$ for all $1 \leq j, k, l \leq d$ with $E_0 M_{jkl}(X) < \infty$.

A4. (i)
$$E_0\{\mathbf{i}_j(\theta_0|X)\} = 0$$
 for $j = 1, ..., d$.

- (ii) $E_0\{\dot{\mathbf{l}}_i^2(\theta_0|X)\} < \infty$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$.
- (iii) $I(\theta_0) = (-E_0\{\ddot{\mathbf{l}}_{jk}(\theta_0|X)\})$ is positive definite.

Let

$$Z_n \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0 | X_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0 | X) = I^{-1}(\theta_0) \dot{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0 | X) \,,$$

so that

$$I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0|X_i)\,.$$

Theorem 1.2 Suppose that X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. $P_{\theta_0} \in \mathcal{P}$ with density p_{θ_0} where \mathcal{P} satisfies A0 - A4. Then:

- (i) With probability converging to 1 there exist solutions $\tilde{\theta}_n$ of the likelihood equations such that $\tilde{\theta}_n \to_p \theta_0$ when $P_0 = P_{\theta_0}$ is true.
- (ii) $\tilde{\theta}_n$ is asymptotically linear with influence function $\tilde{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0|x)$. That is,

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z_n + o_p(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0 | X_i) + o_p(1)$$

$$\rightarrow_d \quad I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \equiv D \sim N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0)) \,.$$

(iii)

$$2\log\widetilde{\lambda}_n \to_d Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z = D^T I(\theta_0) D \sim \chi_d^2$$

(iv)

$$W_n \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \widehat{I}_n(\widetilde{\theta}_n) \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \to_d D^T I(\theta_0) D = Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_d^2$$

where

$$\widehat{I}_{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_{n}) = \begin{cases} I(\widetilde{\theta}_{n}), & \text{or} \\ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{i}(\widetilde{\theta}_{n} | X_{i}) \mathbf{i}(\widetilde{\theta}_{n} | X_{i})^{T}, & \text{or} \\ -n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{i}(\widetilde{\theta}_{n} | X_{i}). \end{cases}$$

(v)

$$R_n \equiv Z_n^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z_n \to Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_d^2$$

Here we could replace $I(\theta_0)$ by any of the possibilities for $\widehat{I}_n(\widetilde{\theta}_n)$ given in (iv) and the conclusion continues to hold.

(vi) The model \mathcal{P} satisfies the LAN condition at θ_0 :

$$l(\theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t) - l(\theta_0) = t^T Z_n - \frac{1}{2} t^T I(\theta_0) t + o_{P_0}(1)$$

$$\to_d t^T Z - \frac{1}{2} t^T I(\theta_0) t \sim N(-(1/2)\sigma_0^2, \sigma_0^2)$$

where $\sigma_0^2 \equiv t^T I(\theta_0) t$. Note that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = \hat{t}_n = \operatorname{argmax}\{l_n(\theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t) - l_n(\theta_0)\} \\
\rightarrow_d \operatorname{argmax}\{t^T Z - (1/2)t^T I(\theta_0)t\} = I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z \\
\sim N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0)).$$

Remark 1.1 Note that the asymptotic form of the log-likelihood given in part (vi) of theorem 1.2 is exactly the log-likelihood ratio for a normal mean model $N_d(I(\theta_0)t, I(\theta_0))$. Also note that

$$t^{T}Z - \frac{1}{2}t^{T}I(\theta_{0})t = \frac{1}{2}Z^{T}I^{-1}(\theta_{0})Z - \frac{1}{2}(t - I^{-1}(\theta_{0})Z)^{T}I(\theta_{0})(t - I^{-1}(\theta_{0})Z),$$

which is maximized as a function of t by $\hat{t} = I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z$ with maximum value $Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z/2$.

Corollary 1 Suppose that A0-A4 hold and that $\nu \equiv \nu(P_{\theta}) = q(\theta)$ is differentiable at $\theta_0 \in \Theta$. Then $\tilde{\nu}_n \equiv q(\tilde{\theta}_n)$ satisfies

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\nu}_n - \nu_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\mathbf{l}}_{\nu}(\theta_0 | X_i) + o_p(1) \to_d N(0, \, \dot{q}^T(\theta_0) I^{-1}(\theta_0) \, \dot{q}(\theta_0)) \,.$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{l}}_{\nu}(\theta_0|X_i) = \dot{q}^T(\theta_0)I^{-1}(\theta_0)\dot{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0|X_i)$ and $\nu_0 \equiv q(\theta_0)$.

(3)
$$\check{\theta}_n \equiv \overline{\theta}_n + \widehat{I}_n^{-1}(\overline{\theta}_n)(n^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{l}}(\overline{\theta}_n|\underline{X}))$$

The estimator $\dot{\theta}_n$ is sometimes called a one-step estimator.

Theorem 1.3 Suppose that A0-A4 hold, and that $\overline{\theta}_n$ satisfies $n^{1/4}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = o_p(1)$; note that the latter holds if $\sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = O_p(1)$. Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\check{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z_n + o_p(1) \to_d N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0))$$

where $Z_n \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_0 | X_i).$

Proof. Theorem 1.2. (i) Existence and consistency. For a > 0, let

$$Q_a \equiv \{\theta \in \Theta : |\theta - \theta_0| = a\}.$$

We will show that

(a)
$$P_0\{l(\theta) < l(\theta_0) \text{ for all } \theta \in Q_a\} \to 1$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

This implies that L has a local maximum inside Q_a . Since the likelihood equations must be satisfied at a local maximum, it will follow that for any a > 0 with probability converging to 1 that the likelihood equations have a solution $\tilde{\theta}_n(a)$ within Q_a ; taking the root closest to θ_0 completes the proof.

To prove (a), write

$$\frac{1}{n}(l(\theta) - l(\theta_0)) = \frac{1}{n}(\theta - \theta_0)^T \underline{\mathbf{i}}(\theta_0) - \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta_0)^T \left(-\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{\ddot{i}}(\theta_0)\right)(\theta - \theta_0) + \frac{1}{6n}\sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^d (\theta_j - \theta_{j0})(\theta_k - \theta_{k0})(\theta_l - \theta_{l0})\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{jkl}(X_i)M_{jkl}(X_i) = S_1 + S_2 + S_3$$

where, by A3(ii), $0 \le |\gamma_{jkl}(x)| \le 1$. Furthermore, by A3(ii) and A4,

(c)
$$S_1 \to_p 0$$
,

(d)
$$S_2 \rightarrow_p -\frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_0)^T I(\theta_0) (\theta - \theta_0),$$

where

(b)

(e)
$$(\theta - \theta_0)^T I(\theta_0)(\theta - \theta_0) \ge \lambda_d |\theta - \theta_0|^2 = \lambda_d a^2$$

and λ_d is the smallest eigenvalue of $I(\theta_0)$ (recall that $\sup_x (x^T A x)/(x^T x) = \lambda_1$, $\inf_x (x^T A x)/(x^T x) = \lambda_d$ where $\lambda_1 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_d > 0$ are the eigenvalues of A symmetric and positive definite), and

(f)
$$S_3 \to_p \frac{1}{6} \sum_j \sum_k \sum_l (\theta_j - \theta_{j0})(\theta_k - \theta_{k0})(\theta_l - \theta_{l0}) E \gamma_{jkl}(X_1) M_{jkl}(X_1)$$

Thus for any given $\epsilon, a > 0$, for n sufficiently large with probability larger than $1 - \epsilon$, for all $\theta \in Q_a$,

(g)
$$|S_1| < da^3,$$

(h)
$$S_2 < -\lambda_d a^2/4,$$

and

(i)
$$|S_3| \le \frac{1}{3} (da)^3 \sum_{j,k,l} m_{jkl} \equiv Ba^3$$

where $m_{jkl} \equiv EM_{jkl}(X)$. Hence, combining (g), (h), and (i) yields

(j)
$$\sup_{\theta \in Q_a} (S_1 + S_2 + S_3) \leq \sup_{\theta \in Q_a} |S_1 + S_3| + \sup_{\theta \in Q_a} S_2 \\ \leq da^3 + Ba^3 - \frac{\lambda_d}{4}a^2 \\ \leq (B+d)a^3 - \frac{\lambda_d}{4}a^2 = \left\{ (B+d)a - \frac{\lambda_d}{4} \right\} a^2.$$

The right side of (j) is < 0 if $a < \lambda_d / \{4(B+d)\}$, and hence (a) holds.

On the set

(k)
$$G_n \equiv \{ \widetilde{\theta}_n \text{ solves } \dot{\mathbf{l}}_n(\widetilde{\theta}_n) = 0 \text{ and } |\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0| < \epsilon \}$$

with $P_0(G_n) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$, we have

(1)
$$0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\mathbf{i}}(\theta_0) - (-n^{-1} \ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_n^*)) \sqrt{n} (\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)$$

where $|\theta_n^* - \theta_0| \le |\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0|$. Now from A4(i), (ii)

(m)
$$Z_n \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\mathbf{i}}(\theta_0 | X_i) \to_d N_d(0, I(\theta_0)).$$

Furthermore

(n)
$$-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_n(\theta_n^*) = -\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_n(\theta_0) + o_p(1) \rightarrow_p I(\theta_0)$$

by using $\tilde{\theta}_n \to_p \theta_0$ and A3(ii) together with Taylor's theorem. Since matrix inversion is continuous (at nonsingular matrices), it follows that the inverse

(o)
$$\left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_n^*)\right)^{-1}$$

exists with high probability, and satisfies

(p)
$$\left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}(\theta_n^*)\right)^{-1} \to_p I(\theta_0)^{-1}.$$

Hence we can use (l) to write, on G_n ,

(q)
$$\sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z_n + o_p(1)$$

 $\rightarrow_d I^{-1}(\theta_0)Z \sim N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0)).$

This proves (ii).

It also follows from (n) that

(r)
$$\sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(-\frac{1}{n} \ddot{\mathbf{l}}(\widetilde{\theta}_n)\right) \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \to_d Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_d^2$$

and that, since $I(\theta)$ is continuous at θ_0 ,

(s)
$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T I(\tilde{\theta}_n) \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \to_d Z^T I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z \sim \chi_d^2$$
.

To prove (iii), we write, on the set G_n ,

(t)
$$l(\theta_0) = l(\widetilde{\theta}_n) + \dot{\mathbf{i}}^T(\widetilde{\theta}_n)(\theta_0 - \widetilde{\theta}_n) - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \widetilde{\theta}_n)^T \left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}(\theta_n^*)\right)\sqrt{n}(\theta_0 - \widetilde{\theta}_n)$$

where $|\theta_n^* - \theta_0| \le |\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0|$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2\log\widetilde{\lambda}_n &= 2\{l(\widetilde{\theta}_n) - l(\theta_0)\} \\ &= 0 + 2\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{l}}(\theta_n^*)\right)\sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \\ &= D_n^T I(\theta_0) D_n + o_p(1), \quad \text{with } D_n \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widetilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \\ &\to_d \quad D^T I(\theta_0) D \quad \text{where } D \sim N_d(0, I^{-1}(\theta_0)) \\ &\sim \chi_d^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (v) is trivial since everything is evaluated at the fixed point θ_0 . \Box

Proof. Theorem 1.3. First note that

$$\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_{n}(\overline{\theta}_{n}) = \frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_{n}(\theta_{0}) + \frac{1}{n}\overset{\cdots}{\mathbf{I}}_{n}(\theta_{n}^{*})(\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0})$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{I}}_{n}(\theta_{0}) + O_{p}(1)|\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}|$$

so that

(a)
$$\left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\overline{\theta}_n)\right)^{-1} = \left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_0)\right)^{-1} + O_p(1)|\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|$$

and

(b)
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{n}(\overline{\theta}_{n}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{n}(\theta_{0}) + \frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_{n}(\theta_{0})\sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0})^{T}\left(\frac{1}{n}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(\theta_{n}^{*})\right)(\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}).$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}(\check{\theta}_n - \theta_0) &= \sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) + \left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\overline{\theta}_n)\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\overline{\theta}_n) \\
&= \sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \\
&+ \left\{\left(-\frac{1}{n}\ddot{\mathbf{i}}_n(\theta_0)\right)^{-1} + O_p(1)|\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \left\{ Z_n + \frac{1}{n} \ddot{\mathbf{l}}_n(\theta_0) \sqrt{n} (\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n} (\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(\frac{1}{n} \overset{\cdots}{\mathbf{l}}_n (\theta_n^*) \right) (\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \right\}$$

$$= \left(-\frac{1}{n} \ddot{\mathbf{l}}_n(\theta_0) \right)^{-1} Z_n + O_p(1) |\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0| Z_n$$

$$+ O_p(1) \frac{1}{n} \ddot{\mathbf{l}}_n(\theta_0) \sqrt{n} |\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|^2$$

$$+ O_p(1) \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n} (\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^T \left(\frac{1}{n} \overset{\cdots}{\mathbf{l}}_n (\theta_n^*) \right) (\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0)$$

$$= I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z_n + o_p(1) + O_p(1) \sqrt{n} |\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|^2$$

$$= I^{-1}(\theta_0) Z_n + o_p(1) .$$

Here we used

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \overset{\cdots}{\mathbf{l}}_{n} (\theta_{n}^{*})(\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0})(\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{d} \sum_{l=1}^{d} \sqrt{n}(\overline{\theta}_{nk} - \theta_{0k})(\overline{\theta}_{nl} - \theta_{0l}) \frac{1}{n} \overset{\cdots}{\mathbf{l}}_{jkl} (\theta_{n}^{*} | \underline{X}) \right| \\ &\leq d^{3} \sqrt{n} |\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}|^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\overset{\cdots}{\mathbf{l}}_{jkl} (\theta_{n}^{*} | X_{i})| \\ &= O_{p}(1) \sqrt{n} |\overline{\theta}_{n} - \theta_{0}|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

since $|\overline{\theta}_{nk} - \theta_{0k}| \le |\overline{\theta}_n - \theta_0|$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$ and $|\underline{x}| \le d \max_{1 \le k \le d} |x_k| \le d \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|$. \Box

Exercise 1.1 Show that $K(P,Q) \ge 2H^2(P,Q)$.