

Lecture 16: March 29

Lecturer: Alessandro Rinaldo

Scribes: Natalia Lombardi de Oliveira

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN):

Lemma 16.1 (*Kronecker's lemma*)

Let $\{x_n\}_n, \{a_n\}_n, a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $a_n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{a_n} < \infty$. Then, $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{a_n} \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 16.2 X_1, X_2, \dots are independent RV's with $E[X_i] = 0$ all i . If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E[X_i^2]}{a_i^2} < \infty$ then by $L_2/a.s.$ convergence result,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{a_n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0.$$

Then, if $\text{Var}[X_i] = \sigma^2$ all i , take $a_n = n$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2} < \infty \implies \frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

This remains true even if $a_n = n^{1/2+\epsilon}, \epsilon > 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{n^{1+2\epsilon}} < \infty \implies \frac{S_n}{n^{1/2+\epsilon}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0.$$

Theorem 16.3 (*Kolmogorov's SLLN*)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of iid RV's such that $E[|X_i|] < \infty$, all i . Then,

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} E[X_1].$$

Proof: By truncation argument.

Law of iterated logarithms:

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of iid RV's such that $E[X_i] = 0, \text{Var}[X_i] = \sigma^2$, all i . We know that $\frac{S_n}{n^{1/2+\epsilon}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, \epsilon > 0$. Then,

$$\limsup_n \frac{S_n}{\sigma \sqrt{n \log(\log(n))}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sqrt{2} \text{ and } \liminf_n \frac{S_n}{\sigma \sqrt{n \log(\log(n))}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} -\sqrt{2}.$$

We know that $\limsup_n x_n = \inf_n \sup_{k \geq n} x_k$. If $x = \limsup_n x_n$ then $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N(\epsilon)$ such that $x_n \leq x + \epsilon, \forall n > N(\epsilon)$. In particular, $S_n \geq \sigma \sqrt{n \log(\log(n))} + \epsilon$ i. o. $\forall \epsilon > 0$ small enough.

Some interesting results:

- $\limsup_n \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} = \infty$
- $\liminf_n \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} = -\infty$
- $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \approx N(0, \sigma^2)$ by CLT
- $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log(\log(n))}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$, which means that for every ϵ small enough, $Z_n = \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log(\log(n))}}$ will be in $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ with high probability, but $Z_n \in (\sqrt{2} - \epsilon, \sqrt{2})$ i.o. Recall that almost sure convergence is a statement about individual ω 's. The set of ω 's for which Z_n goes in or out of $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ or $(\sqrt{2} - \epsilon, \sqrt{2})$ changes with n .

Convergence in distribution:

Convergence in distribution can also be called *weak convergence* or *weak+ convergence*.

Let (\mathcal{X}, d) with Borel σ -field \mathcal{B} . Let $\{X_n\}, X$ taking values in \mathcal{X} ($\{X_n\}$ and X do not need to be defined over the same probability space).

Definition 16.4 (*Convergence in distribution*)

$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ (or $X_n \xrightarrow{D} X$ or $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$ or $X_n \Rightarrow X$) when $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[f(X_n)] = E[f(X)]$ for all continuous bounded functions f (or Lipschitz bounded functions).

Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots independent $N(0, 1)$ and $X_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$ then $X_n \sim N(0, 1) \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X, X \sim N(0, 1)$.

Equivalently, if $X_n \sim N(0, 1)$, independent for all n , then X_n does not converge to anything.

- Convergence in distribution is a statement about distributions of X 's.
- If $X_1 \sim N(0, 1), X_2 \sim N(0, 1)$, X_1, X_2 independent, then $X_1 \neq X_2$ almost surely but $X_1 = X_2$ in distribution.

Example: $\{X_n\}$ a sequence of Bernoulli R.V.'s: $X_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2} \frac{n+1}{n})$, $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2)$. Note that $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, but X_n may or may not $\xrightarrow{p} X$; it depends on the dependency structure.

Theorem 16.5 (*Portmanteau Thm*)

The following conditions are equivalent:

1. $E[f(X_n)] \rightarrow E[f(X)]$ all bounded continuous f 's.
2. $\forall C \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ closed, $\limsup_n P(X_n \in C) \leq P(X \in C)$.
3. $\forall A \subset \mathcal{X}$ open, $\liminf_n P(X_n \in A) \geq P(X \in A)$.
4. $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $P(X \in \partial B) = 0$, $\lim_n P(X_n \in B) = P(X \in B)$.

Proposition 16.6 1. $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$.

2. If X is degenerate ($P(X = c) = 1$, some $c \in \mathcal{X}$) and $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$.