

Lecture 14: October 19

Lecturer: Alessandro Rinaldo

Scribes: Enxu Yan

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

14.1 Uniform Bound via Rademacher Complexity

We are interested in bounding the quantity

$$\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathbb{P}_n f - \mathbb{P}f|$$

where $\mathbb{P}_n f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)$ and $\mathbb{P}f = \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ with X and $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ i.i.d. from \mathbb{P} . In the following analysis, we assume only boundedness of function $f \in \mathcal{F}$:

Assumption 14.1 \mathcal{F} is a class of functions $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq b, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Given an n -tuple $\mathbf{x}^n := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}$ and let

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}^n) = \{(f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)) \in \mathcal{R}^n \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

The *empirical Rademacher Complexity* of \mathcal{F} w.r.t. samples \mathbf{x}^n is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}^n)) := \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x_i) \right| \right] \quad (14.1)$$

where $\epsilon^n := (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ are i.i.d. *Rademacher* random variables (i.e. $\mathbb{P}(\epsilon_i = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\epsilon_i = -1) = 1/2$). $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}^n))$ computes the expected maximum correlation between n random signs ϵ^n and points in $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}^n)$. The *Rademacher Complexity* of function class \mathcal{F} w.r.t. a distribution \mathbb{P} is then

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbb{E}_X[\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}(X^n))] = \mathbb{E}_{X, \epsilon} \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x_i) \right| \right]. \quad (14.2)$$

Note X^n and ϵ^n are independent. $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ is a measure on the "size" of \mathcal{F} . If it is large, then $\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ could be also large. We want

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

14.1.1 Upper Bound

For upper bounding $\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 14.2 Let \mathcal{F} be a class of functions satisfying Assumption 14.1. We have

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geq 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) + t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{nt^2}{2b^2}\right\}$$

for all n and $t > 0$.

When $n \rightarrow \infty$, from the Theorem, if $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0$, $\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$ almost surely by Borel-Canteli's lemma. Function class with $\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow 0$ in probability is called *Glivenko-Cantelli* class.

Proof: (Theorem 14.2)

The proof has two parts. Part-(i) shows that $\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ converges around its mean $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}]$. Part-(ii) bounds $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}]$ by $2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ (using *symmetrization* technique).

Part i) To apply *bounded differences inequality* on the function

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{f}(x_i) \right|,$$

where $\bar{f}(X) = f(X) - \mathbb{E}_X[f(X)]$, we need to verify that $G(\cdot)$ has bounded difference when varying each single coordinate. Since $G(\cdot)$ is invariant to permutation of (x_1, \dots, x_n) , without loss of generality, let \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x} differ by only J -th coordinate $y_J \neq x_J$. For any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{f}(x_i) \right| - \sup_{h \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{h}(y_i) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{f}(x_i) \right| - \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{f}(y_i) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} |\bar{f}(x_J) - \bar{f}(y_J)| \\ &\leq \frac{2b}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then taking supremum over $f \in \mathcal{F}$ on both sides, we have $G(\mathbf{x}) - G(\mathbf{y}) \leq 2b/n$. Similarly, we can obtain $G(\mathbf{y}) - G(\mathbf{x}) \leq 2b/n$ by the same argument. Then since we have verified $|G(\mathbf{x}) - G(\mathbf{y})| \leq 2b/n$ when \mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} differ by a single coordinate, applying the *bounded differences inequality* yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}} - \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}]\right| \geq t\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\left|G(\mathbf{x}^n) - \mathbb{E}[G(\mathbf{x}^n)]\right| \geq t\right) \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{nt^2}{2b^2}\right\}$$

as desired.

Part ii) Using the *symmetrization* argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}] &= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}_{Y_i}[f(Y_i)]) \right| \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \mathbb{E}_{Y_i} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(Y_i)) \right] \right| \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{X, Y} \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(Y_i)) \right| \right] \end{aligned}$$

where the last is Jensen's inequality. Now let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ be i.i.d. Rademacher random variables. The distribution of $\epsilon_i(f(X_i) - f(Y_i))$ is exactly the same as $f(X_i) - f(Y_i)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}] &\leq \mathbb{E}_{X,Y,\epsilon} \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(X_i) - f(Y_i)) \right| \right] \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}_{X,\epsilon} \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right] = 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

■

14.1.2 Lower Bound

To show that Rademacher complexity gives a tight enough bound on

$$\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)] \right|,$$

the following theorem gives a more general result on bounding the expectation of $\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ with the expectation of its symmetrized version

$$\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right|.$$

Theorem 14.3 For any convex, non-decreasing function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{X,\epsilon} \left[\Phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}\right) \right] \leq \mathbb{E}_X \left[\Phi(\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}) \right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{X,\epsilon} \left[\Phi(2\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{\mathcal{F}}) \right], \quad (14.3)$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{F}} = \{f - \mathbb{E}[f] \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Proof: The proof for the upper bound is similar to that for Theorem 14.2. First, by applying symmetrization and Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_X \left[\Phi(\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}) \right] &= \mathbb{E}_X \left[\Phi \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(Y_i)] \right| \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{X,Y} \left[\Phi \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) - f(Y_i) \right| \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{X,Y,\epsilon} \left[\Phi \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(X_i) - f(Y_i)) \right| \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Then by triangular inequality and Jensen's inequality (again!), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_X \left[\Phi(\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}) \right] &\leq \mathbb{E}_{X,Y,\epsilon} \left[\Phi \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(Y_i) \right| \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{X,\epsilon} \left[\Phi \left(2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{Y,\epsilon} \left[\Phi \left(2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(Y_i) \right| \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{X,\epsilon} \left[\Phi \left(2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which proves the upper bound in (14.3). Now for the lower bound, using Jensen's inequality and symmetrization equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{X,\epsilon} \left[\Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{R}_n\|_{\mathcal{F}} \right) \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{X,\epsilon} \left[\Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}_Y[f(Y_i)]) \right| \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{X,Y,\epsilon} \left[\Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (f(X_i) - f(Y_i)) \right| \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{X,Y} \left[\Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(Y_i)) \right| \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

By triangular inequality and by convexity of $\Phi(\cdot)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - f(Y_i)) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \Phi \left(\frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]) \right| + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]) \right| \right) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(Y_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides and noticing that Y_i and X_i are identically distributed give the lower bound in (14.3). \blacksquare

Note that the lower bound in (14.3) takes norm w.r.t. $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ instead of \mathcal{F} . The following corollary gives a lower bound of $\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ in terms of *Rademacher complexity*. It follows directly from the lower bound in Theorem 14.3.

Corollary 14.4 For a function class \mathcal{F} satisfying assumption 14.1 and any $\delta \geq 0$,

$$\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) - \frac{\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |\mathbb{E}[f]|}{2\sqrt{n}} - \delta \quad (14.4)$$

with probability at least $1 - e^{-\frac{n\delta^2}{2b^2}}$.

The lower bound (14.4) indicates the when $n \rightarrow \infty$, if the *Rademacher Complexity* $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ does not converge to 0, $\|\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P}\|$ will also not go to 0. In other words, the convergence of *Rademacher Complexity* is a **necessary** and **sufficient** condition for \mathcal{F} to be a *Glivenko-Cantelli* class.

In the next lecture, we will focus on how to bound the Rademacher complexity $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ to get an actual uniform concentration bound for the function class \mathcal{F} .