

## Lecture 16: October 24

Lecturer: Alessandro Rinaldo

Scribes: Ilmun Kim

**Note:** *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

**Disclaimer:** *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

## 16.1 A uniform law via Rademacher complexity

### 16.1.1 Classes with polynomial discrimination

Recall if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a class of functions that are uniformly bounded by  $b > 0$ , then we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \geq 2\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) + t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{2b^2}\right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}_{X, \epsilon} \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_i)]) \right|. \quad (16.1)$$

To bound  $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F})$ , we will use the VC theory and later we will develop more general tools.

**Remark 16.1 (Concentration property of Rademacher complexity)** *Let  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|g(x) - g(y)| \leq L|x - y|$ ,  $g(0) = 0$  and set  $g \circ \mathcal{F} = \{g \circ f, f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{R}_n(g \circ \mathcal{F}) \leq 2L\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}).$$

**Example 16.2** *Suppose the function  $f(x) = x^2$  is defined on  $[-L, L]$ . Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{X, \epsilon} \left[ \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f^2(X_i) \right| \right] \leq 4L \mathbb{E}_{X, \epsilon} \left[ \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(X_i) \right| \right].$$

**Definition 16.3 (Polynomial discrimination)** *A class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions defined on the domain  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \{f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  has polynomial discrimination with parameter  $\nu \geq 1$  if for each positive integer  $n$  and collection  $x_1^n = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $n$  points in  $\mathcal{X}$ , the set*

$$\mathcal{F}(x_1^n) = \{(f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, f \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

*has cardinality upper bounded as*

$$|\mathcal{F}(x_1^n)| \leq (n+1)^\nu.$$

**Lemma 16.4** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  has polynomial discrimination with parameter  $\nu$ , then for all  $n$  and any  $x_1^n$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_\epsilon \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i f(x_i) \right| \right] \leq D(x_1^n) \sqrt{\frac{\nu \log(n+1)}{n}}$$

where  $D(x_1^n) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f^2(x_i)}{n}}$ .

**Corollary 16.5** *As a corollary, we obtain the following results.*

$$1) \mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2\mathbb{E}_X [D(x_1^n)] \sqrt{\frac{\nu \log(n+1)}{n}}$$

$$2) \text{ If } \|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x)| < b \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ then } \mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2b \sqrt{\frac{\nu \log(n+1)}{n}}.$$

### 16.1.2 Uniform convergence of CDFs

Consider the function class  $\mathcal{F} = \{(-\infty, t] : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . In this case,  $\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}$  defines the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic as

$$\|P_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup_t |\hat{F}_n(t) - F(t)|.$$

Note that for a fixed  $x_1^n = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the ordered samples

$$x_{(1)} \leq x_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq x_{(n-1)} \leq x_{(n)}$$

split the real line into at most  $n+1$  intervals including  $(-\infty, x_{(1)})$  and  $(x_{(n)}, \infty]$  and for a given  $t$ , the indicator function  $I_{[t, \infty)}$  takes the value 1 for all  $x_{(i)} \geq t$ , and 0 for all other samples. It follows that for any given sample  $x_1^n$ , we have  $|\mathcal{F}(x_1^n)| \leq n+1$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  has polynomial discrimination with  $\nu = 1$ . Consequently, we can show a quantitative version of Glivenko-Cantelli theorem as follows.

**Corollary 16.6** *(Classical Glivenko-Cantelli) Let  $F(t) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq t)$  be the CDF of a random variable  $X$ , and let  $\hat{F}_n(t)$  be the empirical CDF based on  $n$  i.i.d. samples  $X_i \sim \mathbb{P}$ . Then,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \|\hat{F}_n - F\|_\infty \geq 4 \log \sqrt{\frac{\log(n+1)}{n}} + t \right) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{nt^2}{2} \right)$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ , and hence  $\|\hat{F}_n - F\|_\infty \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ .

**Proof:** *The claim follows from Eq.(16.1) and Corollary 16.5. ■*

Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz (DKW) inequality provides a sharper tail bound of  $\|\hat{F}_n - F\|_\infty$  as

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \|\hat{F}_n - F\|_\infty > t \right) \leq 2 \exp \left( -\frac{nt^2}{2} \right)$$

for every  $t > 0$ .

## 16.2 Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimension

Let us assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a collection of  $\{0, 1\}$  functions and represent this class using the collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets in  $\mathcal{X}$  as follows.

$$f \in \mathcal{F} \iff \mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : f(x) = 1\}$$

Then, for a fixed  $x_1^n$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}(x_1^n) = \mathcal{A}(x_1^n) = \{A \cap x_1^n : A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Clearly, we can see that  $|\mathcal{A}(x_1^n)| \leq 2^n$ . A VC-class of sets is a class such that  $|\mathcal{A}(x_1^n)|$  grows only polynomially in  $n$ .

**Definition 16.7 (Shattering and VC dimension)** *The class  $\mathcal{A}$  shatters the  $n$ -tuple  $x_1^n$  if  $|\mathcal{A}(x_1^n)| = 2^n$ . The VC-dimension  $\nu$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the largest  $n$  such that some  $n$ -tuple  $x_1^n$  is shattered by  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

If  $n > \nu$ , then no  $n$ -tuple  $x_1^n$  is shattered by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Example 16.8** *Here are two typical examples.*

- For  $\mathcal{A} = \{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ , the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\nu(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ .
- For  $\mathcal{A} = \{(b, a] : b < a\}$ , the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\nu(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ .

If the VC dimension is finite, then the growth function cannot grow too quickly.

**Lemma 16.9 (Sauer's lemma)** *Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  has the finite VC-dimension  $\nu_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then for  $n \geq \nu_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,*

$$\max_{x_1^n} |\mathcal{A}(x_1^n)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}} \binom{n}{i} \leq (n+1)^{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(n)$  be the shattering coefficient,  $\max_{x_1^n} |\mathcal{A}(x_1^n)|$ . Then, Lemma 16.9 provides the following inequality.

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \log \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(2n)}{n}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4\nu_{\mathcal{A}} \log n}{n}}$$

## 16.3 Controlling the VC-dimension

### 16.3.1 Basic operations

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two collections of subsets in  $\mathcal{X}(= \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then,

1.  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}^c}(n)$ .
2. If  $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \{A \cup B : A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ , then

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}}(n) \leq \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(n) \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}(n).$$

3. The same bound holds for

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap B &= \{A \cap B : A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\} \\ A \times B &= \{A \times B : A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\} \end{aligned}$$

4.  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(n+m) \leq \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(n)\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(m)$ .

5. If  $C = A \cup B$ , then

$$\mathcal{S}_C(n) \leq \mathcal{S}_A(n) + \mathcal{S}_B(n).$$

More examples are provided as

1. If  $\mathcal{A} = \{(-\infty, x_1] \times \cdots \times (-\infty, x_n] : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ , then  $\nu_{\mathcal{A}} = d$ .

2. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then,  $\nu_{\mathcal{A}} = 2d$ .

### 16.3.2 Vector space structure

**Proposition 16.10** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a finite dimensional vector space of real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, the class*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{x : g(x) \leq 0, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}\}$$

has VC dimension at most  $\dim(\mathcal{G})$ .

**Example 16.11 (Spheres in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ )** *Consider the sphere*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbb{B}(x, r) : x \in \mathbb{R}^d, r > 0\} \text{ where } \mathbb{B}(x, r) = \{y : \|x - y\|^2 \leq r^2\}.$$

Then,  $\nu_{\mathcal{A}} \leq d + 2$ .

**Proof:** Notice that  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r,y}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2 - r^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^d x_i y_i - r^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We first define a feature map  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+2}$  via  $\phi(x) = (1, x_1, \dots, x_d, \|x\|_2^2)$ . Then, consider functions of the form

$$g_c(x) = c^T \phi(x) \text{ where } c \in \mathbb{R}^{d+2}.$$

The family of functions  $\{g_c, c \in \mathbb{R}^{d+2}\}$  is a vector space of dimension  $d + 2$ , and it contains the function class  $f_{r,y}(x)$ . Then, the result follows by Proposition 16.10.  $\blacksquare$