

Lecture 7: September 21

Lecturer: Alessandro Rinaldo

Scribes: Jackie Mauro

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

7.1 Recap: covariance matrix estimation

For A an $m \times n$ matrix, take $A = UDV^T$. U, V orthonormal columns, D diagonal. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{max} &= \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|Ax\| \text{ (largest singular value)} \\ &= \max_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} \\ &= \max_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \\ y \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}} |y^T Ax|\end{aligned}$$

If A ($n \times n$) is symmetric, $\sigma_{max}(A) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |x^T Ax|$.

If A ($n \times n$) is PSD, $\sigma_{max}(A) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} x^T Ax$, the largest eigenvalue of A .

For a generic A ($m \times n$), $\sigma_{max}(A)$ also called the "operator norm". $\|A\|_{op}$ is the L_∞ norm of its singular values.

$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} A_{ij}^2}$ is the "Frobenius" norm. It is the L_2 norm over the singular values.

Nuclear norm of A : $\sum_i \sigma_i$, the L_1 norm of the singular values.

7.2 Operator Norm

Take A, B to be $m \times n$ matrices.. If $\|A - B\|_{op} \rightarrow 0$ then $|y^T Ax - y^T Bx| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly over $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, y \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$. And this implies $\max_{i,j} |A_{ij} - B_{ij}| \rightarrow 0$.

If Σ is the covariance matrix and $\hat{\Sigma}$ an estimator of it (both PSD), then:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Sigma - \hat{\Sigma}\|_{op} &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Rightarrow \max_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |v^T \Sigma v - v^T \hat{\Sigma} v| &\rightarrow 0 \\ \Rightarrow \max_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\mathbb{V}(v^T X) - \mathbb{V}(v^T \tilde{X})| &\rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

Where $X \sim (\mu, \Sigma)$ and $\tilde{X} \sim (\tilde{\mu}, \hat{\Sigma})$.

7.3 Weyl Inequality

A, B are $m \times n$ with singular values:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1(A) &\geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{\min(n,m)}(A) \\ \sigma_1(B) &\geq \sigma_2(B) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{\min(n,m)}(B)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \max_{k=1, \dots, \min(m,n)} |\sigma_k(A) - \sigma_k(B)| \leq \|A - B\|_{op} = \sigma_{\max}(A - B)$$

Recall: a random vector $X \in SG(\sigma^2)$ if:

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda v^T X}) \leq e^{\frac{\lambda \sigma^2}{2}} \quad (7.1)$$

then $X \in SG_d(\sigma^2)$ if its coordinates are independent $SG(\sigma^2)$ or $X \sim N_d(0, \Sigma)$ with $\sigma^2 = \|\Sigma\|_{op}$ because:

$$\mathbb{V}(v^T X) = v^T \Sigma v \Rightarrow \max_{v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (v^T \Sigma v) = \|\Sigma\|_{op} \quad (7.2)$$

Theorem 7.1 If $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (0, \Sigma)$ in \mathbb{R}^d and $\in SG(\sigma^2)$, then, setting

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^T \quad (7.3)$$

there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that:

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\Sigma - \hat{\Sigma}\|_{op} \leq \sigma^2 C \min\{\sqrt{\frac{d + \log(2/\delta)}{n}}, \frac{d + \log(2/\delta)}{n}\}) \geq 1 - \delta \quad (7.4)$$

for $\delta \in (0, 1)$

This implies that if $\Sigma = I, \sigma^2 = 1$ then:

$$\|\hat{\Sigma} - I\|_{op} \leq \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} + \frac{d}{n} \quad (7.5)$$

with high probability

Consistency requires $d = o(n)$. Unless you make sparsity assumptions on Σ you must have d grow slowly with n .

Proof: The proof uses a discretization argument. Operator norm is the max over an infinite set, so we need to discretize. Take $X \in SG(\sigma^2), X - E(X^2) \in SE(\nu^2, \alpha), \nu = \alpha = 16\sigma^2$.

We also need the discretization lemma:

Lemma 7.2 Let A ($n \times n$) symmetric (will eventually be $\Sigma - \hat{\Sigma}$) and \mathcal{N}_ϵ be an ϵ -net of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Then

$$\|A\|_{op} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |x^T A x| \leq (1 - 2\epsilon)^{-1} \max_{y \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon} (y^T A y) \quad (7.6)$$

Proof: Let $x^* \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ st $\|A\|_{op} = |x^{*T} A x^*|$. Let $y \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$ st $\|x^* - y\| \leq \epsilon$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}|x^{*T} A x^* - y^T A y| &= |x^T A(x^* - y) + y^T A(x^* - y)| \text{ by symmetry} \\ &\leq |x^T A(x^* - y)| + |y^T A(x^* - y)| \\ &\leq \|x^*\| |A(x^* - y)| + \|y\| \|A(x^* - y)\| \\ &\leq 2\|A\|_{op} \|x^* - y\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon \|A\|_{op}\end{aligned}$$

Where the second to last inequality follows from $\|Az\| \leq \|A\|_{op}\|z\|$.

This gives:

$$|y^T Ay| \geq |x^{*T} Ax^*| - 2\epsilon \|A\|_{op} \quad (7.7)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A\|_{op} \leq \frac{1}{1-2\epsilon} |y^T Ay| \quad (7.8)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1-2\epsilon} \max_{y \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |y^T Ay| \quad (7.9)$$

■

Now set $A = \hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma$ ($d \times d$ and symmetric) and consider $\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{4}}$ a $1/4$ -net of \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , then:

$$\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{op} = \|A\|_{op} \leq 2 \max_i |v_i^T A v_i| \quad (7.10)$$

where $\{v_i, \dots, v_n\} = \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{4}}$. Note that $|\mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{4}}| \leq 9^d$ because it is a volume calculation. So, $\forall t > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{op} \geq t) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\max_i |v_i^T (\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) v_i| \geq t/2) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \leq 9^d} \mathbb{P}(|v_i^T (\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) v_i| \geq t/2) \end{aligned}$$

for a fixed $v \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} v^T (\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) v &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (v^T X_j)^2 - v^T \Sigma v \\ \text{Note: } v^T \hat{\Sigma} v &= v^T \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j X_j^T \right) v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n v^T X_j X_j^T v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (v^T X_j)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n [Z_j^2 - \mathbb{E}(Z_j^2)] \end{aligned}$$

where $Z_j = v^T X_j$, $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}(X X^T)$. We know $Z_j^2 - \mathbb{E}(Z_j^2) \in SE(\nu^2, \alpha)$, Z_j iid. For each $v_i \in \mathcal{N}_{\frac{1}{4}}$, by Bernstein:

$$\mathbb{P}(|v_i (\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) v_i| \geq t/2) \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2} \min\left\{\left(\frac{t}{32\sigma^2}\right)^2, \frac{t}{32\sigma^2}\right\}\right\} (*) \quad (7.11)$$

Then :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|}{\sigma^2} \geq t\right) &\leq 2 \cdot 9^d \cdot (*) \\ \text{set: } &\leq \delta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{t}{32} &\geq \sigma \min\left\{\frac{2}{n} d \log(9) + \frac{2}{n} \log(2/\delta), \sqrt{\frac{2}{n} d \log(9) + \frac{2}{n} \log(2/\delta)}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

■

7.4 (Sparse) Linear Models

Setup: $Y = X\beta^* + \epsilon$, with $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ independent $SG(\sigma^2)$

Generally, X is considered fixed [Buja15].

There are 2 settings we are interested in where d grows with n (or even $d > n$).

- Prediction
- Estimation

7.4.1 Prediction

Prediction or mean estimation. Suppose we observe a new batch of data \tilde{Y} and want to estimate β^* with $\hat{\beta}$ and we would like to predict Y as follows:

$$\text{minimize: } \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{Y} - X\hat{\beta}\|^2] \quad (7.12)$$

this is the same as minimizing $\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[\|X(\beta^* - \hat{\beta})\|^2] + \mathbb{E}[\|\epsilon\|^2]$. So we minimize $\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[MSE(X\hat{\beta})]$:

$$MSE(X\hat{\beta}) = \|X\beta^* - X\hat{\beta}\|^2, \hat{\beta} = f(Y) \quad (7.13)$$

7.4.2 Parameter estimation

$$\text{minimize } \mathbb{E}[\|\beta^* - \hat{\beta}\|^2]$$

Prediction is simpler, because parameter estimation requires the *true model*

7.5 Least Squares in High Dimensions

Usual: $\hat{\beta}^{LS} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$ if $(X^T X)^{-1}$ exists. But $\hat{\beta}^{LS}$ is not defined if $d > n$ or X is rank deficient (linearly dependent).

Still, you can find a solution to:

$$\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|Y - X\beta\|^2 \quad (7.14)$$

The function $\beta \rightarrow \|Y - X\beta\|^2$ is convex.

To find its minimum, we set the gradient to zero:

$$\Rightarrow X^T X \beta = X^T Y \quad (7.15)$$

Any β satisfying this will be a minimum.

If $(X^T X)^{-1}$ does not exist, we have infinitely many solutions. But if all we want is $X\beta$ (rather than β) we can take any such solution.

References

- [Buja15] A. BUJA, R. BERK, L. BROWN, E. GEORGE, E. PITKIN, M. TRASKIN, L. ZHAO and K. ZHANG
“Models as Approximations—A Conspiracy of Random Regressors and Model Deviation Against
Classical Inference in Regression,” *Submitted to Statistical Science*, 2015.