

## Lecture 24: November 21

Lecturer: Alessandro Rinaldo

Scribes: Adarsh Prasad

**Note:** *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

**Disclaimer:** *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

**(Recap) Main Result for Oracle Inequality for Nonparametric Least Squares**

**Theorem 24.1** *Assume  $\partial\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}\}$  to be star-shaped and let  $\delta_n$  be any solution of the critical inequality:*

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}(\delta, \partial\mathcal{F})}{\delta} \leq \frac{\delta}{2\sigma}$$

*Then  $\exists c_0, c_1, c_2 > 0$ , such that for any  $t \geq \delta_n$  and for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}_n - f^*\|_n^2 &\leq \inf_{\gamma \in (0,1)} \left\{ \frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|f - f^*\|_n^2 + \frac{c_0 t \delta_n}{\gamma(1-\gamma)} \right\} \\ \text{w.p.} &\geq 1 - c_1 \exp\left(\frac{-c_2 n t \delta_n}{\sigma^2}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (24.1)$$

**Proof:** *Proof can be found in Lecture 23.* ■

**Remarks** Note that Theorem 24.1 gives a family of bounds and setting  $t = \delta_n$  in Theorem 24.1, yields an upper bound of the form:

$$\|\hat{f} - f^*\|_n^2 \lesssim \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f - f^*\|_n^2 + \delta_n^2 \quad (24.2)$$

**24.1 Uses of Oracle Inequality for Nonparametric least squares****24.1.1 Orthogonal series expansion**

Let  $P$  be a distribution on  $\mathcal{X}$  and let  $\{\phi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(P)$  i.e.  $\int \phi_m^2(x) dP = 1$  and  $\int \phi_m(x) \phi_{m'}(x) dP = 0$ . For all integers  $T = 1, 2, \dots$ , consider the the function class:

$$\mathcal{F}(1, T) = \left\{ f \in L^2(P) \mid f = \sum_{m=1}^T \theta_m \phi_m, \sum_{m=1}^T \theta_m^2 \leq 1 \right\}$$

Then  $f_{\hat{\theta}}$  be the constrained least-squares estimate over this class which can be computed by solving the following version of ridge regression:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta} &\in \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^T} \frac{1}{2} \|Y - X\theta\|_2^2 + \lambda_n \|\theta\|_2^2 \\ &\text{where } [X_{n \times T}]_{ij} = \phi_j(x_i) \end{aligned} \quad (24.3)$$

Let  $f^*$  be the true function which lies in the unit ball in  $L^2(P)$ . Since  $\{\phi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(P)$ , we have  $f^* = \sum_m \phi_m \theta_m^*$  such that from Parseval's theorem  $\|f^*\|_2^2 = \sum (\theta_m^*)^2 \leq 1$ .

Then,

$$\inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}(1,T)} \|f - f^*\|_{L^2(P)}^2 = \sum_{m=T+1}^\infty (\theta_m^*)^2 \text{ for each } T = 1, 2, \dots$$

and the infimum is achieved by the truncated function  $\tilde{f} = \sum_{m=1}^T \theta_m^* \phi_m$ .

- For this problem (Equation 24.3), the critical radius  $\delta_n \lesssim \frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}$  (HW!!).
- Set  $f = \tilde{f}$  in the oracle inequality in Equation 24.2, we get:

$$\|f_{\hat{\theta}} - f^*\|_n^2 \lesssim \underbrace{\sum_{m=T+1}^\infty (\theta_m^*)^2}_{\text{Approximation Error}} + \underbrace{\frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}}_{\text{Estimation Error}} \tag{24.4}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we can let  $T = T(n) \rightarrow \infty$ , and we choose the optimal  $T$  by balancing the approximation and estimation terms.

- In many cases the coefficients  $\theta_m^*$  exhibit polynomial decay such that:

$$\sum_{m=T+1}^\infty \theta_m^* \lesssim \frac{c}{T^{2\alpha}} \quad \alpha \geq 1, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$$

This is the case if  $f^*$  is  $\alpha$ -times differentiable and its  $\alpha$ -order derivative is square integrable. In this case, we can obtain the optimal  $T$ , balancing both terms in Equation 24.4,

$$\frac{c}{T^{2\alpha}} = \frac{\sigma^2 T}{n} \implies \left(\frac{cn}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}}$$

Using this, we get the final rate as  $\left(\frac{\sigma^2 T}{n}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}}$ .

### 24.1.2 Best Sparse Approximation.

Consider the standard linear model  $y_i = f_{\theta^*}(x_i) + \sigma w_i$ , where  $f_{\theta^*}(x) := \langle \theta^*, x \rangle$  is an unknown linear regression function, and  $w_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  is an i.i.d. noise sequence. For a fixed sparsity index  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ , consider the class of all linear regression functions based on  $s$ -sparse vectors, the class:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(s) := \{f_\theta | \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|\theta\|_0 \leq s\}$$

Consider the estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  corresponding to performing least-squares over the set of all regression vectors with at most  $s$  non-zero coefficients:

$$f_{\hat{\theta}} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{f_\theta \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(s)} \frac{1}{2n} \|Y - f_\theta\|_2^2 \tag{24.5}$$

Using Equation 24.2 for this problem, we get:

$$\|f_{\hat{\theta}} - f^*\|_n^2 \lesssim \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(s)} \|f - f^*\|_n^2 + \underbrace{\sigma^2 \frac{s \log(ed/s)}{n}}_{\delta_n^2} \tag{24.6}$$

where we devote the rest of section to proving that  $\delta_n^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{s \log(ed/s)}{n}$ .

- Firstly note that  $\partial\mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(s) = \mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(s) - \mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(s) \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(2s)$ . Therefore, we have  $\mathcal{G}_n(\delta, \partial\mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(s)) \leq \mathcal{G}_n(\delta, \partial\mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(2s))$ .
- Now, let  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$  with  $|S| = 2s \leq d$ . Let  $X_{n,d}$  with  $i^{\text{th}}$  row given by  $x_i^T$ . And let  $X_S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2s}$  be the sub-matrix with columns indexed by  $S$ . We can then write:
- Then,

$$\mathcal{G}_n(\delta, \partial\mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(2s)) = E_w \left[ \max_{|S|=2s} Z_n(S) \right] \quad \text{where} \quad Z_n(S) = \sup_{\substack{\theta_S \in \mathbb{R}^{2s} \\ \|X_S \theta_S\|_2 \leq \delta \sqrt{n}}} \left| \frac{w^T X_S \theta_S}{n} \right|$$

- Now, observe that for a fixed  $S$ ,  $Z_n(S)$  is a Lipschitz function of  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  with Lipschitz constant  $\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}}$ . So, by concentration of Lipschitz function for gaussian r.v.'s, we have that:

$$P(Z_n(S)) \geq E[Z_n(S)] + t\delta \leq e^{-\frac{nt^2}{2}} \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (24.7)$$

- So, we just need to bound  $E[Z_n(S)]$ . To do this, let  $X_S = UDV^T$  be the SVD decomposition of  $X_S$ , where  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2s}$  and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{2s \times 2s}$  are the left and right singular matrices, and  $D \in \mathbb{R}^{2s \times 2s}$  is a diagonal matrix of singular values.
- Noting that  $\frac{\|X_S \theta_S\|_2}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\|DV^T \theta_S\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}$ , let  $\beta = \frac{DV^T \theta_S}{\sqrt{n}}$ , we get:

$$E[Z_n(S)] \leq E \left[ \sup_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2s} \\ \|\beta\|_2 \leq \delta}} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \langle U^T w, \beta \rangle \right| \right] \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} E[\|U^T w\|_2]$$

- Now, by observing that  $U^T w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_{2s})$ , and using Jensen's inequality, we get that  $\|U^T w\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2s}$ . Therefore  $E[Z_n(S)] \leq \frac{\delta \sqrt{2s}}{\sqrt{n}}$ .
- Now combining, the upper bound on expectation with the tail bounds of Equation 24.7, along with a union bound over all subsets of size  $2s$ , we get:

$$P \left[ \max_{|S|=2s} Z_n(S) \geq \delta \left( \sqrt{\frac{2s}{n}} + t \right) \right] \leq \binom{d}{2s} e^{-\frac{nt^2}{2}}, \quad \text{valid for all } t \geq 0 \quad (24.8)$$

- By integrating this tail bound, we get:

$$\frac{E_w \left[ \max_{|S|=2s} Z_n(S) \right]}{\delta} = \frac{\mathcal{G}_n(\delta, \partial\mathcal{F}_{\text{spar}}(2s))}{\delta} \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{s}{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log \binom{d}{2s}}{n}} \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{s \log(ed/s)}{n}}. \quad (24.9)$$

- Hence, from Equation 24.9, we get that the critical inequality is satisfied for  $\delta_n^2 \simeq \sigma^2 \frac{s \log(ed/s)}{n}$

## 24.2 Introduction to U-statistics

U-statistics were invented by Hoeffding in 1948, although not in a high dimensional setting. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of distributions on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B})$ , and let  $\theta : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ . If  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $\theta(P)$  is its parameter.

A parameter  $\theta$  is estimable based on  $m$  iid realizations  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m \sim P$  if there exists a kernel function  $h : \mathcal{X}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\theta(P) = E[h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)]$ . The smallest such  $m$  is called the degree of the parameter  $\theta$ .

**Symmetry.** WLOG we may take  $h$  to be symmetric in its arguments. If  $h$  is not symmetric, we may symmetrize by considering the following function  $\tilde{h}$  obtained by averaging over all permutations of the input:

$$\tilde{h}(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} h(X_{\sigma_1}, X_{\sigma_2}, \dots, X_{\sigma_m}) \quad (24.10)$$

and  $E[\tilde{h}(X_1, \dots, X_m)] = E[h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m)]$ .

**Estimation.** Suppose we obtain  $n > m$  samples  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P$ . For a symmetric kernel function  $h$  which estimates  $\theta(P)$  unbiasedly, the corresponding U-statistic estimator is given by:

$$U_n = U_n(h) = \binom{n}{m}^{-1} \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_m} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m}) \quad (24.11)$$

where  $m$  is the order/degree of the parameter  $\theta(P)$ . Clearly  $E[U_n] = \theta(P)$ , hence  $U_n$  is an unbiased estimator of the parameter  $\theta(P)$ .

**Motivation and Intuition.** Let  $S_n = S(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be an unbiased estimator of  $\theta(P)$ . Can we somehow reduce its variance?

Let  $U_n$  be the corresponding U-statistic i.e.:

$$U_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} S(X_{\sigma_1}, \dots, X_{\sigma_n}) \quad (24.12)$$

Then,  $U_n = E[S_n | X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}]$ , assuming,  $\theta = 0$ , then the variance of  $U_n$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} E[U_n^2] &= E[E[S_n | X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}]^2] \\ &\leq E[E[S_n^2 | X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}]^2] \quad (\text{Using Jensen's}) \\ &\leq E[S_n^2] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtained an unbiased estimator whose variance is smaller than the initial variance.

## References

- [1] M. WAINWRIGHT, High-dimensional statistics: A non-asymptotic viewpoint