

Lecture 11: October 6

Lecturer: Alessandro Rinaldo

Scribes: Yining Wang

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

In this lecture we introduce the *Chen-Stein* method on Poisson approximation of a sequence of weakly correlated random variables.

11.1 Motivation

Scan statistics Consider a matrix $y \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W}$ with HW random variables y_{ij} . We want to perform a hypothesis testing, with the null hypothesis H_0 being y_{ij} i.i.d. distributed according to some known distribution F . Typically F can be taken as a zero-mean Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. One particular test statistic is

$$\max_{i,j} \{T_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{H-h+1, W-w+1},$$

where T_{ij} can be either the average or the maximum of y_{ij} in a small scanning window of height h and width w . Under the null hypothesis, for a fixed scanning window T_{ij} , the distribution of its average or its maximum can be easily worked out. However, the distribution of the *maximum* of all scan statistics T_{ij} (or even its confidence interval) is difficult to compute, because T_{ij} are not independent from each other. Nevertheless, the correlation between the scan statistics are weak, and hence we expect them to behave close to independently distributed random variables.

Max correlation Consider a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, where each columns of X , X_i , are i.i.d. distributed according to a multivariate Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ for some known parameters μ and Σ . We are interested in the following quantity

$$M = \max_{i_1 \neq i_2} \widehat{\text{corr}}(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}),$$

where $\widehat{\text{corr}}(x, y)$ is the *empirical* correlation between two vectors x and y , which is defined as

$$\widehat{\text{corr}}(x, y) = \frac{\sum_i x_i y_i}{\|x\|_2 \|y\|_2}.$$

Again, $\widehat{\text{corr}}(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2})$ are not independent from $\widehat{\text{corr}}(X_{i'_1}, X_{i'_2})$ as long as $\{i_1, i_2\} \cap \{i'_1, i'_2\} \neq \emptyset$. However, we expect the mutual correlation is weak.

11.2 The Chen-Stein Poisson Approximation

We have the following classic result, which states that the sum of a sequence of weakly correlated random variables behave close to a Poisson random variable.

Theorem 11.1 ([AGG89]) *Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a sequence of (correlated) Bernoulli random variables indexed by α , where the index set \mathcal{I} is countable. Suppose $X_\alpha \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_\alpha)$, $W = \sum_\alpha X_\alpha$ and $\mathbb{E}[W] = \sum_\alpha p_\alpha = \lambda$. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$, fix its neighborhood $B_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ and define*

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{\beta \in B_\alpha} p_\alpha p_\beta, \\ b_2 &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{\beta \in B_\alpha \setminus \{\alpha\}} p_{\alpha\beta}, \\ b_3 &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \mathbb{E}[X_\alpha - p_\alpha | X_\beta : \beta \notin B_\alpha] \right| \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $p_{\alpha\beta} = \Pr[X_\alpha = 1 \wedge X_\beta = 1]$. Note that if X_α is independent of X_β for all β in the complement of B_α then $b_3 = 0$. Suppose $Z \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|W - Z\|_{\text{TV}} &\leq 2 \left[(b_1 + b_2) \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} + b_3 \min \left(1, \frac{1.4}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \right] \\ &\leq 2(b_1 + b_2 + b_3), \end{aligned}$$

where $\|p - q\|_{\text{TV}} = \int |p(x) - q(x)| dx$ is the total variation between two probability distributions.

As a simple corollary, the following proposition characterizes the probability of $W = 0$ (i.e., no bad event happens), which is very useful in many applications.

Corollary 11.2 *Assuming the same notations in Theorem 11.1. We then have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Pr(W = 0) - e^{-\lambda} \right| &\leq (b_1 + b_2 + b_3) \cdot \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda}}{\lambda} \\ &\leq (b_1 + b_2 + b_3) \min \left(1, \frac{1}{\lambda} \right). \end{aligned}$$

11.3 Application: the birthday problem

Consider N people, each with birthday sampled uniformly at random from $\{1, \dots, 365\}$. We are interested in (approximately) computing the probability that no two people share the same birthday. Let $\{X_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq N}$ be random variables with $X_{ij} = 1$ if person i and person j has the same birthday and $X_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Define $W = \sum_{i < j} X_{ij}$. The event that no two people share the same birthday is equivalent to the event that $W = 0$.

For notational convenience define $\mathcal{I} = \{(i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq N\}$ and $\lambda = \mathbb{E}[W] = \binom{N}{2} \frac{1}{d}$, where $d = 365$ is the number of days in a year. For $\alpha = (i, j)$, define its neighborhood B_α as

$$B_\alpha = B_{ij} = \{(k, \ell) : \{k, \ell\} \cap \{i, j\} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Clearly, X_α is independent of X_β for all $\beta \notin B_\alpha$ and hence $b_3 = 0$. For the other two quantities b_1 and b_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= |\mathcal{I}| \cdot |B_\alpha| \cdot \frac{1}{d^2}, \\ b_2 &= |\mathcal{I}| \cdot (|B_\alpha| - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{d^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation we used the fact that X_α and X_β are pairwise independent for all $\alpha \neq \beta$ and hence $p_{\alpha\beta} = p_\alpha p_\beta = 1/d^2$. Consequently, applying Corollary 11.2 and noting that $|\mathcal{I}| = \binom{N}{2}$ and $|B_\alpha| = 2(N-2)+1$, we have

$$\left| \Pr(W = 0) - \exp\left(-\binom{N}{2} \frac{1}{d}\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \binom{N}{2} \frac{1}{d^2} (4N - 7) = \frac{4N - 7}{d}.$$

References

- [AGG89] R. ARRATIA, L. GOLDSTEIN and L. GORDON, “Two moments suffice for Poisson approximations: the Chen-Stein method,” *The Annals of Probability*, 1989.