

## Lecture 5: November 10

*Lecturer: Alessandro Rinaldo**Scribe: Shashank Singh*

**Note:** *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

**Disclaimer:** *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

## 5.1 Recap and Outline

In the previous lecture, we introduced the Le Cam equation which states the minimax rate for certain problems can be computed by solving

$$N(\varepsilon, \Theta, d) = n\varepsilon^2 \quad (5.1)$$

for  $\varepsilon$ , where  $\Theta$  is the hypothesis class on which  $d$  is a metric (used as a loss function) and for which  $N(\varepsilon, \Theta, d)$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -covering number. Today, we discuss applications of the Le Cam equation to nonparametric density estimation under  $L_2$  loss, over hypothesis classes consisting of Hölder continuous functions. We also provide some intuition for the Le Cam equation by analyzing the risk of a regression estimator based on empirical risk minimization.

**Remark:** While all the examples we discuss here are nonparametric problems, the Le Cam equation also holds in many parametric problems. Here, we typically have  $\log N(\varepsilon) = d \log \varepsilon^{-1}$ .<sup>1</sup> This leads to a minimax lower bound of  $\asymp \sqrt{d/n}$ , which is hence commonly referred to as the *parametric rate*.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> $N(\varepsilon)$  denotes the covering number, suppressing the dependence on the hypothesis class and loss (since these are fixed).

<sup>2</sup>Of course, many nonparametric problems have the parametric rate (e.g. estimating statistical functionals when densities are sufficiently smooth relative to the dimension [K15]).

## 5.2 Hölder Classes of Smooth Functions

We first provide some notation necessary for defining the Hölder function class.  $\mathbb{N}^d$  denotes the set of  $d$ -tuples of non-negative integers, which we denote with a vector symbol  $\vec{i}$ , and, for  $\vec{i} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , we define the operators

$$D^{\vec{i}} := \frac{\partial^{|\vec{i}|}}{\partial^{i_1} x_1 \cdots \partial^{i_d} x_d} \quad \text{and} \quad |\vec{i}| = \sum_{k=1}^d i_k.$$

**Definition 5.1 (Hölder Ball):** Suppose  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open. For  $\beta, L > 0$ , a Hölder ball is a set of the form:

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{X}, \beta, L) := \left\{ f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \left| \sup_{\substack{x \neq y \in \mathcal{X} \\ |\vec{i}| = \lfloor \beta \rfloor}} \frac{|D^{\vec{i}} f(x) - D^{\vec{i}} f(y)|}{\|x - y\|^{\beta - \lfloor \beta \rfloor}} \leq L \right. \right\}, \quad (5.2)$$

where  $\lfloor \beta \rfloor$  is the greatest integer strictly less than  $\beta$ .

We will use without proof the following fact about Hölder Balls:

**Lemma 5.2** The log-covering number of a Hölder ball over an open set  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is

$$\log N(\varepsilon, \Sigma(\mathcal{X}, \beta, L), \|\cdot\|_2) \asymp \varepsilon^{-d/\beta}. \quad (5.3)$$

## 5.3 Application to Nonparametric Density Estimation

Suppose  $f \in \Sigma(\mathcal{X}, \beta, L)$  is an unknown probability density function, from which we observe samples  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . We are interested in estimating  $f$ . If we make the further assumption that  $f$  is lower and upper bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$ , respectively (i.e.,  $c := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) > 0$  and  $C := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) < \infty$ ), then, it can be shown that the Le Cam equation (5.1) holds. Then, (5.3) gives  $n\varepsilon^2 = \varepsilon^{-d/\beta}$ , and solving for  $\varepsilon^2$  in terms of  $n$  gives the following:

**Proposition 5.3** The  $L_2$  minimax rate for the above nonparametric density estimation problem satisfies

$$\inf_{\hat{f}} \sup_{\substack{f \in \Sigma(\mathcal{X}, \beta, L) \\ 0 < c \leq f \leq C < \infty}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|f - \hat{f}\|_2 \right] \in \Omega \left( n^{-\frac{\beta}{d+2\beta}} \right).$$

**Remark:** With the right choice of bandwidth and additional assumptions on the behavior of  $f$  near the boundary of  $\mathcal{X}$ , the risk of the kernel density estimator is of this order, providing a matching upper bound.

## 5.4 The Le Cam Equation for Nonparametric Regression

Here we provide some intuition for the Le Cam equation by showing that it arises naturally when tuning a nonparametric regression estimator based on empirical risk minimization.

**Setup:** Fix a real-valued function class  $\mathcal{F}$  on a domain  $\mathcal{X}$ , and fix  $f^* \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , and suppose we observe  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}$ , where each  $Y_i = f^*(X_i) + \varepsilon_i$ . Define the empirical  $L_2$  risk

$$R_n(f, f') := \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \hat{f}(X_i) - f^*(X_i) \right)^2 \right], \quad \forall f, f' \in \mathcal{F}$$

(noting that  $R_n$  is a (pseudo)metric) and the empirical risk minimization estimator

$$\hat{f} := \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - Y_i)^2.^3$$

**Analysis:** By construction, of  $\hat{f}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \hat{f}(X_i) - f^*(X_i) \right) \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i \left( \hat{f}(X_i) - f^*(X_i) \right) \stackrel{D}{=} \frac{2\sigma}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left( \hat{f}(X_i) - f^*(X_i) \right) = \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g(X_i),$$

where  $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0_n, I_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{G} := \left\{ \frac{f - f^*}{\sqrt{n}} : f \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$ , and  $\stackrel{D}{=}$  denotes equality in distribution.

Let  $\delta > 0$  (to be chosen later), and suppose that  $(\mathcal{G}, R_n)$  has a finite covering number  $N_\delta := N(\delta, \mathcal{G}, R_n)$ . Suppose  $\{g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(N_\delta)}\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is a minimal  $\delta$ -covering of  $(\mathcal{G}, R_n)$ . Then, for any  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , letting

$$j := \operatorname{argmin}_{i \in \{1, \dots, N_\delta\}} R_n \left( g^{(i)}, g \right),$$

by definition of a  $\delta$ -covering,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i g(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g^{(j)}(X_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left( g(X_i) - g^{(j)}(X_i) \right) \leq \max_{\ell \in \{1, \dots, N_\delta\}} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g^{(\ell)}(X_i) + \delta \|w\|_2.$$

Chaining together the above inequalities and taking expectations on both sides gives

$$R_n(f, f') \leq \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\ell \in \{1, \dots, N_\delta\}} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g^{(\ell)}(X_i) \right] + \delta \mathbb{E} [\|w\|_2] \right) \leq \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sqrt{2\nu \log(N_\delta)} + \delta \sqrt{n} \right),$$

where  $\nu := \max_{\ell \in \{1, \dots, N_\delta\}} \sum_{i=1}^n g^{(\ell)}(X_i)$ , using a standard bound on the expectation of a maximum of a sum of independent Gaussian random variables. Note that the first term is non-increasing in  $\delta$  (since  $N_\delta$  is non-increasing in  $\delta$ ), while the second term is non-decreasing in  $\delta$ . Hence, to minimize the rate of this expression in  $\delta$ , we equate the two terms:

$$\sqrt{\log N_\delta} = \delta \sqrt{n}.$$

Squaring both sides gives the Le Cam equation.

*Next time*, we will formally prove this minimax lower bound for nonparametric regression with  $L_2$  loss, and begin discussing Assouad's method.

---

<sup>3</sup> $\hat{f}$  may very well not be computable in practice; here, we are interested only in analyzing its statistical performance.

## References

- [T08] Tsybakov, Alexandre B. *Introduction to nonparametric estimation*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [YB99] Yang, Yuhong, and Andrew Barron. “Information-theoretic determination of minimax rates of convergence.” *Annals of Statistics* (1999): 1564-1599.
- [K15] Kandasamy, Kirthivasan, et al. “Influence Functions for Machine Learning: Nonparametric Estimators for Entropies, Divergences and Mutual Informations.” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.4342* (2014).