

SDS 387 Linear Models

Fall 2024

Lecture 7 - Tue, Sep 17, 2024

Instructor: Prof. Ale Rinaldo

- Last time: Cramer-Wald device: Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of r.v.'s in \mathbb{R}^d and X also a r.v. in \mathbb{R}^d . Then $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ iff $t^T X_n \xrightarrow{d} t^T X \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^d$
 \downarrow
deterministic

HW
we ch. f.'s.

- We also saw that $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y$ does not imply that $\begin{bmatrix} X_n \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}$ and therefore it does not imply that $f(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} f(X, Y)$ (not even if $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is well-behaved, e.g. $f(x, y) = x + y$)

- Exception: if $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y$ and $X_n \perp\!\!\!\perp Y_n$ then $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y$ imply $\begin{bmatrix} X_n \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}$

HW
Use ch. f.

- Result: if $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n - X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$, then $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X$.

PP/ Let K be a closed set. Want to show that $\limsup \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in K) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in K)$
 [Portmanteau, part (iv).]

We have, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, ^{small}

these sets are events

$$\begin{aligned} \{Y_n \in K\} &= \left(\{Y_n \in K\} \cap \{d(X_n, Y_n) \leq \varepsilon\} \right) \cup \\ &\quad \left(\{Y_n \in K\} \cap \{d(X_n, Y_n) > \varepsilon\} \right) \\ &\subseteq \{d(X_n, K) \leq \varepsilon\} \cup \{d(X_n, Y_n) > \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

where $d(K, x) = \inf_{y \in K} d(x, y)$
 closed set in \mathbb{R}^d point in \mathbb{R}^d $y \in K$

$$\{x: d(K, x) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n \in K) \leq \mathbb{P}(X_n \in K_\varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(d(X_n, Y_n) > \varepsilon)$$

\downarrow closed set \downarrow $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in K) &\leq \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in K_\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(X \in K_\varepsilon) \quad \text{by Portmanteau part (iv)} \end{aligned}$$

We now let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ so that $\mathbb{P}(X \in K_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(X \in K)$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(X \in k)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in k) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in k) \quad \text{all } k$$

So, by Portmanteau (iv) $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X$.

Corollary $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$, c a constant

$$\text{Then } \begin{bmatrix} X_n \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ c \end{bmatrix}$$

HW! (Use previous result!)

Slutsky's Theorem $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$. Then

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$$

$$Y_n X_n \xrightarrow{d} cX$$

$$\frac{X_n}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{X}{c}, \quad c \neq 0.$$

Analogous result holds if $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ are sequences of random vectors (in fact, random matrices)

For example in \mathbb{R}^d

$$Y_n^T X_n \xrightarrow{d} c^T X$$

Examples: X_1, X_2, \dots iid $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

$$\text{Then } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{p} \mu \quad \text{wLLN}$$

↳ first 2 moments

3

and, as we will see, $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\hat{\sigma}_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$
 We want to estimate σ^2 (or σ) Central Limit Theorem

We can use

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$$

How do we prove that $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$? Write

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[\underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2}_{\xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2 \text{ by WLLN}} - \underbrace{(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2}_{\xrightarrow{P} 0 \text{ by WLLN + CMT}} \right]$$

$\xrightarrow{P} 1$ $\xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$ by CMT $\xrightarrow{P} 0$ by WLLN + CMT

$\xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$ by Slutsky Joint convergence in distribution

$\xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$ by Slutsky

In turn, because $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \xrightarrow{P} \sigma^2$, we can conclude that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\hat{\sigma}_n} = \frac{\underbrace{\sigma}_{\xrightarrow{P} 1}}{\hat{\sigma}_n} \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma}}_{\xrightarrow{d} Z(0,1)} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

\swarrow random $\xrightarrow{P} 1$ by Slutsky

\Rightarrow a $1-\alpha$ asymptotic confidence interval for μ

$$\bar{X}_n \pm \frac{\hat{\sigma}_n}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\alpha/2}$$

\hookrightarrow upper $1-\alpha/2$ quantile of $N(0,1)$

Uniform convergence of c.d.f.'s. Recall that

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{iff}$$

$$F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$$

for all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$
at which F_X is continuous

pointwise convergence

cdf of X_n

cdf of X

If F_X is continuous at all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |F_{X_n}(x) - F_X(x)| \rightarrow 0$$

uniform convergence !!

HW !!

van der Vaart section 2.2

↑

⊞

\mathcal{O}_p

and

o_p

notation

↓

big-oh p

↓

little-oh p

non-random

Recall that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d is

$o(1)$ when $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathcal{O}(1)$

when $\{x_n\}$ is bounded (and so it has a converging sub-sequence)

- Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of random vectors and $\{r_n\}$ a sequence of positive numbers.

Then $X_n = o_p(r_n)$ means $X_n = r_n \cdot Y_n$

$$\left[\forall \varepsilon > 0 \lim_n \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\|X_n\|}{r_n} > \varepsilon \right) = 0 \right]$$

where $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$

(5)

so $X_n = o_p(1)$ means $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$

• $X_n = O_p(r_n)$ means $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists M > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and both depending on ε

s.t.
 $P\left(\frac{\|X_n\|}{r_n} > M\right) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$

In particular

$X_n = O_p(1)$ when it is bounded in probability

Let $Z \sim N(0, I_d)$. Then $Z = O_p(1)$

In fact any random vector is bounded in probability

Note $X_n = O_p(1)$ we cannot conclude that $X_n \xrightarrow{d}$