

- Result: if $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n - X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$, then $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X$.

PP/ Let K be a closed set. Want to show that $\limsup \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in K) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in K)$
 [Portmanteau, part (iv).]

We have, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, ^{small}

these sets are events

$$\begin{aligned} \{Y_n \in K\} &= \left(\{Y_n \in K\} \cap \{d(X_n, Y_n) \leq \varepsilon\} \right) \cup \\ &\quad \left(\{Y_n \in K\} \cap \{d(X_n, Y_n) > \varepsilon\} \right) \\ &\subseteq \{d(X_n, K) \leq \varepsilon\} \cup \{d(X_n, Y_n) > \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

where $d(K, x) = \inf_{y \in K} d(x, y)$

closed set in \mathbb{R}^d

point in \mathbb{R}^d

$$\{x: d(K, x) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n \in K) \leq \mathbb{P}(X_n \in K_\varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(d(X_n, Y_n) > \varepsilon)$$

\downarrow closed set \downarrow $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

\downarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in K) &\leq \limsup_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in K_\varepsilon) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(X \in K_\varepsilon) \quad \text{by Portmanteau part (iv)} \end{aligned}$$

We now let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ so that $\mathbb{P}(X \in K_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(X \in K)$

SDS 387
Linear Models

Fall 2024

Lecture 8 - Thu, Sep 19, 2024

Instructor: Prof. Ale Rinaldo

- Last time : O_p and o_p notation - Useful notations for asymptotic calculations.

- Example : X_1, X_2, \dots iid $\sim (\mu, \sigma^2)$, Then

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \quad \text{CLT}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu) = O_p(1)$$

$$\hookrightarrow (\bar{X}_n - \mu) = O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

The last statement implies $\bar{X}_n - \mu = o_p(1)$ **HW!**
which the WLLN. But we also get additional information, namely that, by inflating $\bar{X}_n - \mu$ by a factor of \sqrt{n} , we obtain a sequence that is bounded in probability.

• Rules for \mathcal{O}_p calculus.

• $\mathcal{O}_p(1) + \mathcal{O}_p(1) = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$ \rightarrow Sum of a fixed # of terms that are $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ is also $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$

• $\mathcal{O}_p(1) + \mathcal{O}_p(1) = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$

• $\underbrace{\mathcal{O}_p(1) \mathcal{O}_p(1)} = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$

$\mathcal{O}_p(\mathcal{O}_p(1)) = \mathcal{O}_p(1) = \mathcal{O}_p(\mathcal{O}_p(1))$

• $(1 + \mathcal{O}_p(1))^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$

• $\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}_p(1)}$; what can you say about this?

• Last time I remarked that if $X_n = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$ we cannot conclude that $X_n \xrightarrow{d}$ anything!
It only happens along subsequences.

Prokhorov's Thm:

i) If $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ then $X_n = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$

bounded in prob. or tight

ii) If $X_n = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$ then

$\exists \{n_k\}_{k=1,2,\dots}$ s.t.

$X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{d} Y$ some Y as $k \rightarrow \infty$

CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

Basic Form: Let $X_1, X_2, \dots \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} (\mu, \Sigma)$ in \mathbb{R}^d
 $\left[\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \mu)(X_i - \mu)^T] = \Sigma \succ 0 \right.$
 $\left. \text{all } i \right]$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N_d(0, \Sigma)$$

or

$$\sqrt{n} (\bar{X}_n - \mu)$$

Pf/ Use ch. f.'s. Let $X \stackrel{d}{=} X_i$ all i , and let
 $t \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \varphi(t) = \mathbb{E} \exp \{ i t^T (X - \mu) \}$ the ch. f. of $X - \mu$

Then,

$$\varphi(\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu))(t) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)\right)\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by indep}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_{X_i - \mu}\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{because } X_i\text{'s are identically distributed}}{=} \left(\varphi\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)^n \quad (*)$$

Next recall that $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\nabla \varphi(0) = i \mathbb{E}[X - \mu] = 0$
 Hessian $\leftarrow \nabla^2 \varphi(0) = i^2 \Sigma = -\Sigma$ (3)

By Taylor series expansion of $\varphi(t/\sqrt{n})$ around 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (X) &= \left(1 + \underbrace{\frac{i t^\top \nabla \varphi(0)}{\sqrt{n}}}_{=0} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{i^2}{n} t^\top \int_0^1 \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{u t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) du \right)^n \\
 &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} i^2 t^\top \int_0^1 \nabla \varphi\left(\frac{u t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) du}_{a_n} t \right)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Next

$$a_n \rightarrow -\frac{t^\top}{2} \underbrace{\sum_1^1}_{a} dt = -\frac{t^\top}{2} \sum_1^1 t$$

it is ok to bring limit inside the integral

Because $(1 + c_n)^n = \exp\left\{\lim_n n c_n\right\}$ if limit exist

here $c_n = \frac{a_n}{n}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hookrightarrow \varphi_{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}(t) &\rightarrow \exp\{-t^\top \Sigma t\} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
 &\downarrow \\
 &\text{ch. f. of } N_d(0, \Sigma)
 \end{aligned}$$

By Continuity Theorem for ch.f.'s

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma)$$

- CLT: Triangular array version. A triangular array is an infinite collection of r.v.'s $\{X_{i,n}, i \leq n\}$ organized in this manner:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X_{1,1} & & & & & & \\
 X_{1,2} & X_{2,2} & & & & & \\
 X_{1,3} & X_{2,3} & X_{3,3} & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & \\
 X_{1,n} & X_{2,n} & X_{3,n} & \dots & \dots & X_{n,n} & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The rows of the array consist of independent r.v.'s.

The Lindeberg-Feller CLT:

Let $\{X_{i,n}\}$ be a triangular array of r.v.'s in \mathbb{R} .
 s.t. $\mathbb{E}[X_{i,n}] = 0 \quad \forall i,n$. Let

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,n} \quad \text{and} \quad B_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{i,n}^2 \quad \text{where}$$

$$\sigma_{i,n}^2 = \text{Var}[X_{i,n}]. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\frac{S_n}{B_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

if the LF (Lindeberg-Feller) condition

$$(LF) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \xrightarrow{\text{small}} \quad \frac{1}{B_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_{i,n}^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_{i,n}| > \varepsilon B_n\}}] \xrightarrow{0} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

is met.

Conversely, if $\frac{S_n}{B_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$ and if

asymptotic
uniform negligibility

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, n} \frac{\sigma_{i,n}^2}{B_n^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

then (LF) holds.

Often, it is easier to establish a CLT via Lyapunov's conditions

How! $\leftarrow \frac{1}{B_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [|X_{i,n}|^{2+\delta}] \rightarrow 0$

some $\delta > 0$.

This implies LF.



it requires existence of moments higher than 2.

The multivariate case:

Consider a triangular array of centered random vectors in \mathbb{R}^d with 2 moments (Cov $[X_{i,n}]$ exists $\forall i,n$).

Let

$$Y_{i,n} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Cov} [X_{i,n}] \right)^{-1/2} X_{i,n}$$

Then, if

(LF) $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} [\|Y_{i,n}\|^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\|Y_{i,n}\| > \varepsilon\}}] = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_{i,n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_d)$$