

# SDS 387 Linear Models

Fall 2024

Lecture 20 - Tue, Nov 7, 2024

Instructor: Prof. Ale Rinaldo

Last time: minimax lower bound for OLS  $\hat{\beta}$  assuming a well specified model and deterministic and full-rank design matrix  $\Phi$ :

$$y = \Phi\beta^* + \varepsilon$$

$\varepsilon \rightarrow n$ -dimensional vector with mean 0 and variance matrix  $\sigma^2 I_n$

We know that, in this setting,

$$\sup_{\beta^*} \mathbb{E} [R(\hat{\beta})] - \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 \frac{d}{n}$$

$y = \Phi\beta^* + \varepsilon$   
 $\varepsilon \sim (0, \sigma^2 I_n)$

Now want to establish a lower bound on the largest possible expected excess risk that holds regardless of the choice of the estimator.

So we are interested in lower-bounding

$$\inf_A \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}_P \left[ R(A(\underbrace{\Phi \beta^*(P)}_Y + \varepsilon)) \right] - \sigma^2$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{probability distributions for} \\ Y = \Phi \beta^* + \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon \sim (0, \sigma^2 I_n) \\ \beta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{array} \right\}$

This expression is, in turn, lower bounded by

$$\inf_A \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{Gauss}}} \mathbb{E}_P \left[ R(A(\underbrace{\Phi \beta^*(P)}_Y + \varepsilon)) \right] - \sigma^2$$

algorithm that takes as input  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and returns an estimator  $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  where

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{Gaussian}} = \{ Y \sim N_n(\Phi \beta^*, \sigma^2 I_n), \beta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d \}$$

Remark:  $\sigma^2$  is known and  $\Phi$  is known and deterministic

The last expression can be written

$$\inf_A \sup_{\beta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)} \left[ R(A(\Phi \beta^* + \varepsilon)) \right] - \sigma^2$$

↓  
only random term

We are going to take a Bayesian approach and lower bound the last expression by

$$\inf_A \mathbb{E}_{\beta^* \sim \pi} \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)} \left[ R(A(\Phi \beta^* + \varepsilon)) \right] - \sigma^2$$

↳ prior

We can pick any prior  $\pi$ . We pick a prior  $\pi$  that is analytically convenient. Choose as prior  $\pi$  the distribution  $\beta^* \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma^2 I_n}{\lambda n})$  where  $\lambda > 0$ .

Then  $(\beta^*, \Phi\beta^* + \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n$  is jointly Gaussian with mean 0 and variance

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{n\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} I_d & \Phi^\top \\ \Phi & \Phi\Phi^\top + n\lambda I_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} d \\ n \end{matrix}$$

Next, recall that

$$R(A(\Phi\beta^* + \varepsilon)) - \sigma^2 = \|A(\Phi\beta^* + \varepsilon) - \beta^*\|_{\Sigma}^2$$

$$\text{where } \Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \Phi^\top \Phi.$$

So the expression becomes

$$\mathbb{E}_{(\beta^*, \gamma) \sim \Phi\beta^* + \varepsilon} \left[ \|A(\gamma) - \beta^*\|_{\Sigma}^2 \right] =$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|A(\gamma) - \beta^*\|_{\Sigma}^2 \underbrace{dP(\beta^*|\gamma)}_{\text{posterior of } \beta^* \text{ given } \gamma} dP(\gamma)$$

A standard calculation gives that the posterior is  
*Exercise*  $\beta^* | Y \sim N_d \left( \hat{\beta}_\lambda, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \right)$

where  $\hat{\beta}_\lambda = (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda I_d)^{-1} \frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n}$ . Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|A(Y) - \beta^*\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 dP(\beta^* | Y) &= \mathbb{E}_{\beta^* | Y} \left[ \|A(Y) - \beta^*\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right] \\ &\geq \inf_A \mathbb{E}_{\beta^* | Y} \left[ \|A(Y) - \beta^*\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\beta^* | Y} \left[ \|\hat{\beta}_\lambda - \beta^*\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

because  $\mathbb{E}_{\beta^* | Y} \left[ \|A(Y) - \beta^*\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right]$  is minimized when

$A(Y) = \hat{\beta}_\lambda$ , the posterior mean *Exercise!*

Putting everything together, we have found the following lower bound for the minimax risk:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{(\beta^*, Y)} \left[ \|\hat{\beta}_\lambda - \beta^*\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right] &= \\ \mathbb{E}_{\beta^* \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma^2 I_d}{n\lambda})} \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)} &\left[ \underbrace{\left\| (\Phi^T \Phi + n\lambda I_d)^{-1} \Phi^T (\Phi \beta^* + \varepsilon) - \beta^* \right\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2}_{\star} \right] \end{aligned}$$

④

We have that

Exercise

$$\star = (\Phi^T \Phi + n\lambda \text{Id})^{-1} \Phi^T \varepsilon - n\lambda (\Phi^T \Phi + n\lambda \text{Id})^{-1} \beta^\star$$

Because  $\beta^\star \perp \varepsilon$  the expression is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \text{Id}_n)} \left[ \left\| (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda) \frac{\Phi^T \varepsilon}{n} \right\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\beta^\star \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma^2 \text{Id}}{n\lambda})} \left[ \left\| \lambda (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda \text{Id})^{-1} \beta^\star \right\|_{\hat{\Sigma}}^2 \right]$$

$$= T_1 + T_2$$

We have that

$$T_1 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr} \left( (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda \text{Id})^{-2} \hat{\Sigma}^2 \right)$$

$$T_2 = \lambda^2 \mathbb{E}_{\beta^\star} \left[ \beta^{\star T} (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda \text{Id})^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda \text{Id})^{-1} \beta^\star \right]$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{n\lambda} \text{tr} \left( (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda \text{Id})^{-2} \hat{\Sigma} \right) \quad \text{Exercise}$$

$$\hookrightarrow T_1 + T_2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{tr} \left( (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda \text{Id})^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \right) \quad \lambda > 0$$

$\frac{d}{\hat{d}_j + d}$   
 $\hat{d}_j$   $\leftarrow$   $\hat{\Sigma}$   
 $\hat{d}_j$   $j$ -th eigenvalue of  $\hat{\Sigma}$

$\hookrightarrow$  lower bound on the minimax risk.

The above bound holds for any  $d > 0$ . Of course

$\text{tr} \left( (\hat{\Sigma} + \lambda \text{Id})^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \right)$  is  $\downarrow$  in  $d$ .

So the final lower bound:

$$\sup_{d > 0} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left( \hat{\Sigma}^r + d \operatorname{Id} \right)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}^r \right) =$$

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \lim_{d \downarrow 0} \left( \hat{\Sigma}^r + d \operatorname{Id} \right)^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}^r =$$

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \operatorname{tr} \left( \operatorname{Id} \right) = \boxed{\sigma^2 \frac{d}{n}}$$

Recall  $\hat{\Sigma}^r$   
is invertible  
by assumption

expected excess risk of  $\hat{\beta}$  (OLS)

So  $\hat{\beta}$  (OLS) is the minimax estimator

## STATISTICAL INFERENCE for $\beta^*$

As usual the model is

$$Y = \Phi \beta^* + \varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\eta, \sigma^2 \operatorname{Id}_n)$$

↓  
full column rank  
and deterministic

Goal: statistical inference for  $\beta^*$

Is  $\hat{\beta}$  (OLS) consistent, meaning  $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{P} \beta^*$  ?

↓  
when  $\hat{\beta}$  is computed  
using data  $Y = \Phi \beta^* + \varepsilon$

(6)

Yes! To see this:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\Phi}^T \hat{\Phi})^{-1} \hat{\Phi}^T Y = \beta^* + \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \varepsilon}{n}$$

Claim: if  $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \hat{\Phi}}{n} \rightarrow \Sigma_{d \times d}$  then

$$\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \varepsilon}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \varepsilon}{n} = o_p(1)$$

PA/

By WLLN,  $\frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \varepsilon}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$  because

$$\frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \varepsilon}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\Phi_i^T \varepsilon_i}{n} \quad \text{where } \Phi_i \text{ is transpose of } i\text{th row of } \Phi$$

$$\text{and } \Phi_i^T \varepsilon_i \sim (0, \sigma^2 \Phi_i \Phi_i^T)$$

$$\text{So } \text{Var} \left[ \frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \varepsilon}{n} \right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \hat{\Phi}^T \hat{\Phi}$$

$$\text{So by Chebyshev } \frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \varepsilon}{n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

Next  $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}$  so by Slutsky's theorem

$$\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \frac{\hat{\Phi}^T \varepsilon}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \Sigma^{-1} \cdot 0 = 0 \quad \equiv$$

Also  $\hat{\beta}$  is asymptotically normal:

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2 \Sigma^{-1})$$

$\downarrow$   
 $E[\hat{\beta}]$

We have that 
$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^{-1} \frac{\Phi_{i,\Sigma}^T}{n}$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$\rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}$$

So we only need to show that  $\sqrt{n} \frac{\Phi_{i,\Sigma}^T}{n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma \Sigma^{-1})$   
and the result will follow by Slutsky

- Next time we will be going to verify this using the LF conditions, which holds if

$$\max_i \frac{\|\Phi_{i,\Sigma}\|}{v_i} \rightarrow 0$$